1 2	JOHN F. DAUM (SB #52313) FRAMROZE M. VIRJEE (SB #120401 DAVID L. HERRON (SB #15881))
3	DAVID B. NEWDORF (SB #172960) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
4	Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street	
5	San Francisco, California 941 Telephone: (415) 984-8700	11-3305
6		ese Compleinent
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Cr State of California	oss-complainant
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	CITY AND COUNT	IY OF SAN FRANCISCO
10		
11	ELIEZER WILLIAMS, et al.,) Case No. 312 236
12	Plaintiffs,) Department: 16
13	vs.) Judge: Hon. Peter J. Busch
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DELAINE)
15	EASTIN, State Superintendent Of Public Instruction, STATE	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION
16	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE	
17	BOARD OF EDUCATION,))
18	Defendants.)
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
20)
21	Cross-Complainant,)
22	vs.)
23	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school district,)
24	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED)
25	SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school district, OAKLAND))
26	UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school district, RAVENSWOOD)
27	CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school district,)
28	CAMPBELL UNION ELEMENTARY)

.

- .

• 1

CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1	SCHOOL DISTRICT,)		
2	a school district,) CLOVERDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL)		
3	DISTRICT, a school district,) PIONEER UNION ELEMENTARY)		
4	SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school)		
5	district, PAJARO VALLEY) UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a)		
	school district, FRESNO)		
6	UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a) school district, VISALIA)		
7	UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a)		
8	school district, MERCED CITY) ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a)		
9	school district, ALHAMBRA CITY)		
10	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a) school district, ALHAMBRA CITY)		
11	HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school)		
12	district, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED) SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school)		
13	district, MONTEBELLO UNIFIED) SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school)		
	district, LYNWOOD UNIFIED)		
14	SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school) district, INGLEWOOD UNIFIED)		
15	SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school) district, LONG BEACH UNIFIED)		
16	SCHOOL DISTRICT, a school)		
17	district)		
18	Cross-Defendants.)		
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20			
21	Cross-complainant State of California, for its cross-		
22	complaint herein, alleges as follows:		
23			
24	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
25			
26	1. Cross-complainant is the State of California.		
27	 Cross-defendant San Francisco Unified School 		
28	District is a local public entity organized and existing under		
	2		
	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION		

and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
 been given authority and responsibility for the management and
 governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of San
 Francisco, California.

5 3. Cross-defendant West Contra Costa Unified School 6 District is a local public entity organized and existing under 7 and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has 8 been given authority and responsibility for the management and 9 governance of the affairs of public schools in the areas of San 10 Pablo and Richmond, California.

Cross-defendant Oakland Unified School District is
 a local public entity organized and existing under and by virtue
 of the laws of the State of California, which has been given
 authority and responsibility for the management and governance of
 the affairs of public schools in the area of Oakland, California.

16 5. Cross-defendant Ravenswood City Elementary School
17 District is a local public entity organized and existing under
18 and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
19 been given authority and responsibility for the management and
20 governance of the affairs of public elementary schools in the
21 area of East Palo Alto, California.

6. Cross-defendant Campbell Union Elementary School District is a local public entity organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been given authority and responsibility for the management and governance of the affairs of public elementary schools in the area of Campbell, California.

28

Cross-defendant Cloverdale Unified School District
 is a local public entity organized and existing under and by
 virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been
 given authority and responsibility for the management and
 governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of
 Cloverdale, California.

8. Cross-defendant Pioneer Union Elementary School
District is a local public entity organized and existing under
and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
been given authority and responsibility for the management and
governance of the affairs of public elementary schools in the
area of Berry Creek, California.

9. Cross-defendant Pajaro Valley Unified School
District is a local public entity organized and existing under
and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
been given authority and responsibility for the management and
governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of
Watsonville, California.

19 10. Cross-defendant Fresno Unified School District is
20 a local public entity organized and existing under and by virtue
21 of the laws of the State of California, which has been given
22 authority and responsibility for the management and governance of
23 the affairs of public schools in the area of Fresno, California.

24 11. Cross-defendant Visalia Unified School District is
25 a local public entity organized and existing under and by virtue
26 of the laws of the State of California, which has been given
27 authority and responsibility for the management and governance of
28 the affairs of public schools in the area of Visalia, California.

1 12. Cross-defendant Merced City Elementary School 2 District is a local public entity organized and existing under 3 and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has 4 been given authority and responsibility for the management and 5 governance of the affairs of public elementary and middle schools 6 in the area of Merced, California.

13. Cross-defendant Alhambra City Elementary School
District is a local public entity organized and existing under
and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
been given authority and responsibility for the management and
governance of the affairs of public elementary schools in the
areas of Alhambra and Monterey Park, California.

13 14. Cross-defendant Alhambra City High School District 14 is a local public entity organized and existing under and by 15 virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been 16 given authority and responsibility for the management and 17 governance of the affairs of public high schools in the area of 18 Alhambra, California.

19 15. Cross-defendant Los Angeles Unified School
20 District is a local public entity organized and existing under
21 and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has
22 been given authority and responsibility for the management and
23 governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of Los
24 Angeles, California.

25 16. Cross-defendant Montebello Unified School District 26 is a local public entity organized and existing under and by 27 virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been 28 given authority and responsibility for the management and

governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of
 Montebello, California.

3 17. Cross-defendant Lynwood Unified School District is
4 a local public entity organized and existing under and by virtue
5 of the laws of the State of California, which has been given
6 authority and responsibility for the management and governance of
7 the affairs of public schools in the area of Lynwood, California.

8 18. Cross-defendant Inglewood Unified School District 9 is a local public entity organized and existing under and by 10 virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been 11 given authority and responsibility for the management and 12 governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of 13 Inglewood, California.

14 19. Cross-defendant Long Beach Unified School District
15 is a local public entity organized and existing under and by
16 virtue of the laws of the State of California, which has been
17 given authority and responsibility for the management and
18 governance of the affairs of public schools in the area of Long
19 Beach, California.

20 20. On May 17, 2000, plaintiffs in this action filed 21 their complaint against the State. On August 14, 2000, they 22 filed their First Amended Complaint, which replaced and 23 superseded the original complaint.

24 25

26

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
2	SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION
3	(Against Cross-Defendant San Francisco Unified School District)
4	21. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 2, and 20 are
5	realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully
6	set forth.
7	22. With respect to the Balboa High School in San
8	Francisco, which is operated by the San Francisco Unified School
9	District, plaintiffs allege:
10	
11	85. Plaintiffs Alondra Sharae Jones and Lawrence
12	Poon attend school at Balboa High School in San
13	Francisco. At Balboa, several classes, including
14	Spanish and English classes, have no permanent
15	teacher but instead are taught by a series of
16	substitute teachers. Students in these classes
17	often have different substitute teachers every
18	day, and some of the substitute teachers are not
19	familiar with the subject matter they attempt to
20	teach. In one Spanish class during the 1999-2000
21	school year, a student who transferred to Balboa
22	from another school attempted to instruct the
23	class in Spanish on some days because the limited
24	Spanish she had learned at her previous school
25	exceeded the Spanish instruction the students
26	otherwise received from untrained and short-term
27	substitute teachers.
28	
	7

86. . . The high percentage of uncredentialed teachers at Balboa is compounded by the school's extreme rate of teacher turnover. The school only staffs approximately 61 total teachers each year, but 75 teachers have left the school in the past three years.

87. The school does not have enough books for all of its students. Students have to share books in class in some classes, including math and Spanish classes, because the classes do not even have full class sets of the books. And in most of the classes, students cannot take books home for homework because the school does not have enough books for them. Some students have never taken a book home for homework in as many as three years of attending high school at Balboa.

88. Some classes have as many as 54 students, with as few as 30 seats, for weeks without relief. Students in these classes have to stand or sit on counters because they have no seats.

89. Balboa High School is infested with mice. Students regularly see mice in the gym and in their classrooms.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

90. Students watch noneducational movies, such 1 2 as Rush Hour, Entrapment, Liar Liar, and 3 Hallowe'en, in some classes instead of taking 4 instruction. 5 6 91. Balboa students have to pay a \$5 fee to take 7 art classes at the school. 8 9 92. Only one bathroom, with four stalls, is open 10 for girls to use, and only two bathrooms are open 11 for boys to use, on a campus with approximately 12 1200 students. Students have to wait in long 13 lines to access the bathrooms, and when they get 14 in, the bathrooms are not clean. A soiled 15 feminine napkin and a moldy ice cream bar 16 remained in one of the stalls in the girls' 17 bathroom for the entire 1999-2000 school year. 18 19 23. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 20 allege exist at Balboa High School in San Francisco, deprive 21 students attending that high school, including plaintiffs Alondra 22 Sharae Jones and Lawrence Poon, of the opportunity to obtain a 23 basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of 24 the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of 25 basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in 26 other schools receive. 27 28 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

24. With respect to the Bryant Elementary School in
 2 San Francisco, which is operated by the San Francisco Unified
 3 School District, plaintiffs allege:

4

23

Plaintiffs Bianca Arriola, Bibiana Arriola, 5 93. Carlos Ramirez, Richard Ramirez, and Ivanna 6 7 Romero attend Bryant Elementary School in San 8 Bryant has no floor-to-ceiling walls Francisco. 9 between classrooms. Instead, the school has thin, hollow, room dividers hanging from the 10 ceiling, which provide little or no sound 11 barriers between classes. Students can hear 12 noise from other classes talking and learning 13 during their own class instruction. Sometimes 14 15 students in one class start to laugh at a joke told in another class; students in the first 16 class her the joke as clearly as do the students 17 in the class in which the joke was told. 18 The 19 noise problem among classes also means that classes cannot have music or audio instruction in 20 21 class because music and audio instruction would increase the noise level too greatly. 22

94. Teachers at Bryant are missing all or
significant parts of their curriculum in many of
the classes at school. One teacher did not
receive her math curriculum materials until two
months into the 1999-2000 school year. Another

10

teacher did not receive her math textbooks until February, in a school year that began in August. Another teacher still had not received half her district-mandated first-grade curriculum even after two thirds of the school year had been completed. Two fifth-grade teachers share 20 social studies textbooks among 37 students during the school day. Those teachers cannot both give homework on the same night because they are short 17 books for their students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12 95. Many teachers at Bryant purchase basic 13 supplies for their classrooms themselves, 14 spending thousands of their own dollars, because 15 the classes would otherwise go without the 16 supplies. Teachers buy pencils, erasers, 17 crayons, scissors, calendars, and maps so their 18 students will have basic tools to use to learn. 19 During the 1998-1999 school year, several 20 teachers solicited donations of paper and pencils 21 for the school from San Francisco businesses.

96. The air conditioning and heat do not work in many classrooms. On hot days, students feel faint or sleepy because their classroom temperatures reach well above 80 degrees. In the computer lab at the school, temperatures have reached 92 degrees during the 1999-2000 year. Teachers have

to spray students with water to keep them cool during spring, summer, and fall. Some teachers take their classes outside to learn because the temperature is cooler outside. On cool days, students wear coats and mittens inside to keep warm. Some students keep jackets on inside but then take their jackets off when they go outside to play because the outdoors is warmer than their classrooms.

97. Water at the school is unsafe for drinking. Many children bring bottled water to class, and the principal has recommended that teachers flush the pipes every day by running water for a full minute in the morning.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17 25. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 18 allege exist at Bryant Elementary School in San Francisco, 19 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 20 plaintiffs Bianca Arriola, Bibiana Arriola, Carlos Ramirez, 21 Richard Ramirez, and Ivanna Romero, of the opportunity to obtain 22 a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of 23 the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of 24 basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in 25 other schools receive.

26. With respect to the Luther Burbank Middle School
27 in San Francisco, which is operated by the San Francisco Unified
28 School District, plaintiffs allege:

2 79. Plaintiffs Eliezer Williams, Olivia 3 Saunders, Silas Moultrie, and Monique Mabutas attend Luther Burbank Middle School in San 4 5 At Luther Burbank, students cannot Francisco. 6 take textbooks home for homework in any core 7 subject because their teachers have enough 8 textbooks for use in class only. For example, a 9 social studies teacher who teaches five separate 10 social studies classes during one day has only 11 one class set of social studies textbooks, so all 12 five classes must use the same set of books. 13 Some math, science, and other core classes do not 14 have even enough textbooks for all the students 15 in a single class to use during the school day, 16 so some students must share the same one book 17 during class time. In many classes in the 18 school, textbooks are nine or more years out of 19 For homework, students must take home date. 20 photocopied pages, with no accompanying text for 21 guidance or reference, when and if their teachers 22 have enough paper to make homework copies. The 23 school limits the number of copies teachers can 24 make in any given week, so teachers cannot 25 photocopy enough pages from textbooks for the 26 students to have homework each school night. The 27 social studies textbook Luther Burbank students 28 use is so old that it does not reflect the

1

13

breakup of the former Soviet Union. Textbooks are missing pages and covers after so many years of use in school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

26

27

28

80. Luther Burbank is infested with vermin and roaches and students routinely see mice in their classrooms. One dead rodent remained, decomposing, in a corner in the gymnasium for most of the 1999-2000 school year.

81. Two of the three bathrooms at Luther Burbank 11 12 are locked all day, every day. The third bathroom is locked during lunch and other periods 13 14 during the school day, so there are times during 15 school when no bathroom at all is available for 16 students to use. Students have urinated or 17 defecated on themselves at school because they 18 could not get into an unlocked bathroom. Other 19 students have left school altogether to go home 20 to use the restroom. When the bathrooms are not 21 locked, they often lack toilet paper, soap, and 22 paper towels, and the toilets frequently are 23 clogged and overflowing.

> 82. Paint peels off walls in many classrooms. Ceiling tiles are missing and cracked in the school gym, and school children are afraid to play basketball and other games in the gym

> > 14

because they worry that more ceiling tiles will 1 2 fall on them during their games. 3 83. The school has no air conditioning. 4 On hot days classroom temperatures climb into the 90s. 5 6 The school heating system does not work well. In 7 winter, children often wear coats, hats, and 8 gloves during class to keep warm. 9 10 84. . 17 of the 35 teachers only began 11 teaching at Luther Burbank during the 1999-2000 12 school year. 13 27. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 14 15 allege exist at Luther Burbank Middle School in San Francisco, 16 deprive students attending that high school, including plaintiffs 17 Eliezer Williams, Olivia Saunders, Silas Moultrie, and Monique 18 Mabutas, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in 19 violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 20 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 21 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 22 schools receive. 23 The San Francisco Unified School District is the 28. 24 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 25 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 26 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 27 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 28 In carrying out its duties, the San Francisco Unified School 15

1 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 2 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 3 and departments of the State of California, and is required to comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 4 5 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 6 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the San 7 Francisco Unified School District complies with its duties and 8 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 9 required in certain circumstances to act where the San Francisco 10 Unified School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs 11 seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State 12 accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in 13 making sure that the San Francisco Unified School District has 14 properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it 15 by the Legislature and the Constitution.

16 29. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 17 Balboa High School in San Francisco as they have alleged, and if 18 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 19 students at said school, including plaintiff Alondra Sharae Jones 20 and Lawrence Poon, of a basic education, or of basic educational 21 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 22 schools, then the San Francisco Unified School District has 23 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 24 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 25 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 26 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 27 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

30. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 1 2 Bryant Elementary School in San Francisco as they have alleged, 3 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Bianca 4 5 Arriola, Bibiana Arriola, Carlos Ramirez, Richard Ramirez, and Ivanna Romero, of a basic education, or of basic educational 6 7 opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools, then the San Francisco Unified School District has 8 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 9 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 10 11 Education sections 38118, 60119, and 60411, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 12 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293. 13

If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 14 31. 15 Luther Burbank Middle School in San Francisco as they have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 16 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs 17 Eliezer Williams, Olivia Saunders, Silas Moultrie, and Monique 18 Mabutas, of a basic education, or of basic educational 19 20 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 21 schools, then the San Francisco Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 22 23 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 24 Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 38118, 60045, 25 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the California Code of 26 Regulations section 631, and its duties and obligations under the 27 laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code 28 §§ 35290, 35293.

32. The San Francisco Unified School District has the
 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
 plaintiffs complain.

33. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 4 5 conditions exist in Balboa High School, Bryant Elementary School, 6 or Luther Burbank Middle School in San Francisco such as they 7 have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students at 8 those schools, including plaintiffs Alondra Sharae Jones, 9 Lawrence Poon, Bianca Arriola, Bibiana Arriola, Carlos Ramirez, Richard Ramirez, Ivanna Romero, Eliezer Williams, Olivia 10 11 Saunders, Silas Moultrie, and/or Monique Mabutas, of a basic 12 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 13 received by children in other schools, the San Francisco Unified 14 School District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to 15 correct such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order 16 of this Court, the San Francisco Unified School District will not 17 correct such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 18 34. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 19 law. 20 21 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 22 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 23 (Against Cross-Defendant West Contra Costa Unified School 24 District) 25 35. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 3, and 20 are 26 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 27 set forth. 28 18

36. With respect to the John F. Kennedy High School in
 Richmond, which is operated by the West Contra Costa Unified
 School District, plaintiffs allege:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

102. Laurel and Romana Clemons attend John F. Kennedy High School in Richmond. Students in many classes at Kennedy - including advancedplacement physics, advanced-placement English, geometry, and algebra - have not had a formal, long-term teacher for the entire year. Instead, students in these classes have studied under a series of substitutes, some of whom stayed for periods as short as one day. Students in these classes have had no consistency and little instruction. Nevertheless, these students have faced and must face standardized testing, even though they lack preparatory instruction. A11 the students in one advanced-placement English class have declined to take the advanced placement test this year because they feel unprepared for the test after having had no permanent English teacher for two consecutive years.

> 103. Thirty-seven of the 51 teachers at Kennedy are new to the teaching profession and have had no prior classroom experience.

Kennedy does not have enough books for all 104. 1 2 students in the school. No student in any World 3 History class had a textbook for all of the 1999-4 2000 school year. 5 6 37. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 7 allege exist at John F. Kennedy High School in Richmond, deprive 8 students attending that high school, including plaintiffs Laurel 9 and Romana Clemons, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 10 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 11 12 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 13 schools receive. 14 38. With respect to the Wendell Helms Middle School in 15 San Pablo, which is operated by the West Contra Costa Unified 16 School District, plaintiffs allege: 17 18 98. Plaintiffs Moises Canel, Magaly de Loza, 19 Yeimi Alba, Arturo Escutia, and Edgardo Solano 20 attend Wendell Helms Middle School in San Pablo. 21 Helms does not have enough textbooks for all the 22 students in the school. One algebra class has no 23 books at all - not even books for students to use

in class. The students must use class time to copy problems into their notebooks from the blackboard. And students must rely on notes they took in class for instruction on how to do their math problems because they have no books anywhere

24

25

26

27

28

20

to which they can refer for clarification. In science and history classes for which the school does have books, there are not enough books for students to take home for homework, so students may use books only during class time in school. Several students at Helms compare the school to the schools they used to attend when they lived in Mexico, and the students are surprised that in the United States students do not have books to take home and safe places to learn, as the students had when they lived in Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

99. Ceiling tiles at Helms are cracked and falling off, and the school roof leaks in the rain. Students worry that they will be hit with falling tiles when they enter the library and other areas of the school. Students sometimes cannot use the gym on rainy days because the leaks cause dangerous puddles on the gym floor.

100. Toilets often do not work in the school bathrooms. The bathrooms regularly are strewn with used condoms, cigarette butts, and empty liquor bottles. Most of the stalls in the boys' bathrooms are missing doors. The bathrooms only rarely have soap, toilet paper, or paper towels.

101. . . . Nineteen of the 59 teachers at 1 Helms only began teaching at the school 2 during the 1999-2000 school year. Of the 41 3 credentialed teachers, 15 have fewer than 4 5 four years' teaching experience. 6 7 39. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they allege exist at Wendell Helms Middle School in San Pablo, deprive 8 students attending that middle school, including plaintiffs 9 Moises Canel, Magaly de Loza, Yeimi Alba, Arturo Escutia, and 10 Edgardo Solano, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in 11 violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 12 13 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 14 15 schools receive. The West Contra Costa Unified School District is 16 40. the agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 17 18 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 19 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 20 In carrying out its duties, the West Contra Costa Unified School 21 22 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 23 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and departments of the State of California, and is required to 24 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 25 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. 26 The State of 27 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the West Contra Costa Unified School District complies with its duties and 28

22

obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 1 2 required in certain circumstances to act where the West Contra 3 Costa Unified School District has failed. In this case, 4 plaintiffs seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental 5 6 interest in making sure that the West Contra Costa Unified School 7 District has properly carried out the duties and obligations 8 imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution.

9 If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 41. 10 John F. Kennedy High School in Richmond as they have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 11 students at said school, including plaintiffs Laurel and Romana 12 Clemons, of a basic education, or of basic educational 13 14 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 15 schools, then the West Contra Costa Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 16 17 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 18 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 19 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 20 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

· 21 42. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 22 Wendell Helms Middle School in San Pablo as they have alleged, 23 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 24 depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Moises 25 Canel, Magaly de Loza, Yeimi Alba, Arturo Escutia, and Edgardo 26 Solano, of a basic education, or of basic educational 27 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 28 schools, then the West Contra Costa Unified School District has

violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and
regulations, including without limitation California Code of
Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 60119, and 60411,
and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations sections 630
and 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under
the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

7 43. The West Contra Costa Unified School District has
8 the power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
9 plaintiffs complain.

10 To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 44. 11 conditions exist in John F. Kennedy High School in Richmond or 12 Wendell Helms Middle School in San Pablo such as they have 13 alleged, which conditions result in depriving students at those 14 schools, including plaintiffs Laurel and Romana Clemons, Moises 15 Canel, Magaly de Loza, Yeimi Alba, Arturo Escutia, and/or Edgardo 16 Solano, of a basic education, or of basic educational 17 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 18 schools, the West Contra Costa Unified School District has a 19 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 20 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the West 21 Contra Costa Unified School District will not correct such 22 conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so.

45. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 1 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 2 (Against Cross-Defendant Oakland Unified School District) 3 The allegations of paragraphs 1, 4, and 20 are 46. 4 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 5 6 set forth. With respect to the Burbank Elementary School in 7 47. Oakland, which is operated by the Oakland Unified School 8 9 District, plaintiffs allege: 10 Plaintiff Marcelies Grascie attends Burbank 133. 11 Elementary School in Oakland. At Burbank, 12 classrooms are uncomfortably hot because some 13 classrooms have no air conditioning and inside 14 temperatures reach 80 degrees and above. 15 Children have trouble breathing in school, and 16 the heat degrades their concentration and ability 17 to learn. 18 19 Portable classrooms located on the 20 134. playground have quiet instruction only for two to 21 three hours each day, during times when other 22 children do not have recess, lunch, or physical 23 education instruction directly outside the 24 25 portable classroom doors. 26 Some classes have no textbooks for children 27 135. 28 to take home. 25

1 48. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 2 allege exist at Burbank Elementary School in Oakland deprive students attending that elementary school, including plaintiff 3 4 Marcelies Grascie, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education 5 in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 6 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 7 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 8 schools receive.

9 49. With respect to the Fremont High School in
10 Oakland, which is operated by the Oakland Unified School
11 District, plaintiffs allege:

12

13 105. Fremont High School regularly begins school 14 years without having hired permanent teachers for 15 all course offerings, and students expect each 16 year that some courses will not have permanent 17 teachers. During the 1999-2000 school year, 18 eleven teacher vacancies in English, math, and 19 science classes remained unfilled for close to a 20 full semester. Students in these classes without 21 permanent teachers took instruction from a series 22 of substitute teachers instead of having regular 23 teachers of their own.

> 106. The school often fails to hire a substitute teacher when teachers are absent, so students must sit in classes with no teacher at all.

28

27

24

25

107. Fremont students often stand in classes 1 because there are not enough seats for all the 2 3 students. Some classes have as many as 65 4 students with only 30 seats for weeks at a time. 5 6 108. Many Fremont students cannot take books 7 home for homework in such core subjects as 8 French, English, and math. 9 10 Fremont only has two open and unlocked 109. 11 bathrooms, with a total of six stalls, for all the girls in a 2000-person school. 12 These 13 bathrooms are filthy and have broken toilets. 14 15 110. Some classrooms have no air conditioning, 16 and classroom temperatures reach as high as 95 17 degrees. These classrooms are uncomfortably hot 18 for students during four months of the school 19 year. 20 21 50. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 22 allege exist at Freemont High School in Oakland deprive students 23 attending that high school of the opportunity to obtain a basic 24 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 25 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 26 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 27 schools receive. 28

1	51. With respect to the Garfield Elementary School in
2	Oakland, which is operated by the Oakland Unified School
3	District, plaintiffs allege:
4	
5	111. Plaintiffs Kim Parks, Cesar Chavez, and
6	Christina Chavez attend Garfield Elementary
7	School in Oakland. At Garfield, several
8	classrooms and one of the girls' bathrooms have
9	mold on the ceiling tiles and/or walls that is so
10	severe that it makes teachers and students sick.
11	
12	112. The school has rats and mice, and also
13	rodent feces, in the classrooms and on the campus
14	grounds.
15	
16	113. Students cannot take books home for
17	homework in many classes because the school does
18	not have enough textbooks for all the student.
19	
20	114. Some classes at Garfield do not have
21	permanent teachers, and some students have had
22	more than ten teachers in one class in one year.
23	
24	115. Almost all of the classes at Garfield
25	require teachers who have credentials or
26	proficiency to teach children who are learning
27	the English language, but many of the teachers in
28	these classes lack even bilingual or multilingual
	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

s,

٠.

1	proficiency and do not even have instructional	
2	assistants to work with the English language	
3	learner children in their first languages.	
4	·	
5	116. Most classrooms do not have air	
6	conditioning, even though students attend school	
7	at Garfield during hot months. Garfield operated	
8	on a year-round, multitrack schedule during the	
9	1999-2000 school year, and even when it will	
10	operate on a single-track schedule for the 2000-	
11	2001 school year, the school year will not end	
12	until the end of June. Classrooms become	
13	extremely hot during spring, summer, and fall,	
14	and students will remain in classrooms without	
15	air conditioning through June during the 2000-	
16	2001 school year.	
17		
18	117. The school has too few open and unlocked	
19	bathrooms for the approximately 1000 children who	
20	attend Garfield. These bathrooms often lack	
21	toilet paper and often are filthy.	
22		
23	118. The ceilings leak when it rains in some	
24	classrooms at the school.	
25		
26	52. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they	
27	allege exist at Garfield Elementary School in Oakland deprive	
28	students attending that elementary school, including plaintiffs	
-	29	
ļ	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION	

- .

ł

Kim Parks, Cesar Chavez, and Christina Chavez, of the opportunity
 to obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections
 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those
 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that
 children in other schools receive.

53. With respect to the Stonehurst Elementary School
7 in Oakland, which is operated by the Oakland Unified School
8 District, plaintiffs allege:

10 127. Plaintiffs Justin Sessions, Joshua
11 Sessions, Vincent Pulido, and Kiandra Pulido
12 attend Stonehurst Elementary School in Oakland .
13 . . .

9

14

15 Another class at the school was rained out 128. 16 of its classroom in January 2000 and has not 17 since taken instruction in an adequate class 18 The roof in the class's original space. 19 classroom had leaked for years without complete 20 repair, and then one day in January the roof 21 leaked so badly that one third of the classroom 22 was soaked in water, and the students had to move 23 permanently out of the room because the fungus 24 and mold growing from the years of leaking 25 precluded students' return to the room. Since 26 that time, students in the class moved four times 27 and were never placed in a class space adequate 28 for learning. The students took instruction in

30

an open library space where other people walked in and out throughout the school day, making it difficult for the students to concentrate, and where the students could not see their teacher because their space was so small that the teacher had no place to locate her desk except out of the students' eyesight. The students next took instruction in the same auditorium where another class occupied the stage and school music lessons and assemblies occupied other portions of the auditorium, so the students could neither hear 11 nor concentrate. Finally the students displaced 12 a special-education class to take instruction in 13 a portable classroom designed to hold eight 15 special-education students, not 30 fourth- and fifth-grade students. The portable classroom has 16 only approximately 750 square feet, so the 17 18 students were sardined together in a class that has no room for many of the learning tools, such. 19 20 as a skeleton body, the students one had in their classroom when they were located in a full-sized 21 22 The special-education class that used to room. meet in the portable then shared space with 23 24 another special-education class, which meant that 25 16 special-education students had to try to learn 26 together in a space designed to hold only eight 27 of the students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

1 130. Some classes do not have books for all the 2 students to use in class, much less to be able to take home for homework. Students have to share 3 4 books during class, which slows down class 5 instruction. 6 7 131. In some areas of the school, no full walls 8 divide as many as six classrooms from each other. 9 Instead of walls, the school uses bookshelves 10 that reach approximately five or six feet high 11 underneath a nine- or ten-foot ceiling, allowing 12 sound to travel over the shelves into other 13 classrooms. Children in each class compete to be 14 heard over the din of children learning and 15 talking in five other classes. 16 17 132. There are not enough toilets at the school to accommodate all the children. At least one 18 19 bathroom at the school remains locked each and 20 every day, so students have even fewer toilets 21 they can use because they cannot access that 22 bathroom. 23 24 54. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 25 allege exist at Stonehurst Elementary School in Oakland, deprive

26 students attending that elementary school, including plaintiffs
27 Justin Sessions, Joshua Sessions, Vincent Pulido, and Kiandra
28 Pulido, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in

32

violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 1 2 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 3 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 4 schools receive. 5 55. With respect to the Webster Academy in Oakland, 6 which is operated by the Oakland Unified School District allege: 7 8 119. At Webster, most of the classrooms do not 9 have air conditioning, and classroom temperatures 10 become uncomfortably hot in the spring and the 11 early fall. 12 13 The school does not provide sufficient 121. 14 quantities of basic supplies, so teachers spend 15 hundreds of dollars of their own money each year 16 purchasing such essential items as pencils, 17 crayons, notebooks, and glue sticks. 18 19 122. The school often fails to hire a substitute 20 teacher when a teacher is absent. When the 21 school does not hire substitute teachers, the 22 school splits students in the class without a 23 teacher among several other classes during their 24 teacher's absence. When this happens, a few of 25 the students will spend the day in one class, and 26 a few will spend the day in another class, and a 27 few more will spend the day in yet another class. 28 The classes that house these divided classes of

students may not be the same grade level as the 1 2 students' original class. 3 None of the portable classrooms is wired 123. 4 5 for Internet access in a school that is approximately two thirds composed of portable 6 7 Most of the children at Webster do classrooms. not, therefore, have access to the Internet at 8 9 school. 10 Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 11 56. 12 allege exist at Webster Academy in Oakland deprive students 13 attending that elementary school of the opportunity to obtain a 14 basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of 15 16 basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in 17 other schools receive. With respect to the Whittier Elementary School in 18 57. 19 Oakland, which is operated by the Oakland Unified School 20 District, plaintiffs allege: 21 22 The school has no air conditioning, and 126. 23 classroom temperatures become extremely hot 24 during several weeks of every school year, when 25 temperatures reach as high as 105 degrees in 26 Oakland. 27 28 34 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1 58. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 2 allege exist at Whittier Elementary School in Oakland deprive 3 students attending that elementary school of the opportunity to 4 obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 5 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those 6 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that 7 children in other schools receive.

8 59. The Oakland Unified School District is the agent 9 of the State of California for purposes of providing a public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to the 10 11 extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 12 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 13 In carrying out its duties, the Oakland Unified School District 14 is required to comply with applicable laws and regulations 15 promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and 16 departments of the State of California, and is required to comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution of the 17 18 United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California 19 has a direct interest in ensuring that the Oakland Unified School 20 District complies with its duties and obligations in this regard 21 since, under Butt, the State may be required in certain 22 circumstances to act where the Oakland Unified School District 23 has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an 24 obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct 25 financial and governmental interest in making sure that the 26 Oakland Unified School District has properly carried out the 27 duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the 28 Constitution.

60. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 1 2 Burbank Elementary School in Oakland as they have alleged, and if 3 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 4 students at said school, including plaintiff Marcelies Grascie, of a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal 5 6 to those received by children in other schools, then the Oakland 7 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 8 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 9 limitation California Code of Education sections 60119 and 60411, 10 and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the 11 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

12 61. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 13 Fremont High School in Oakland as they have alleged, and if they 14 are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 15 students at said school of a basic education, or of basic 16 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 17 other schools, then the Oakland Unified School District has 18 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 19 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 20 Education sections 17576, 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the 21 California Code of Regulations section 631, and its duties and 22 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 23 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

62. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Garfield Elementary School in Oakland as they have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Kim Parks, Cesar Chavez, and Christina Chavez, of a basic education, or of basic

educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 1 2 other schools, then the Oakland Unified School District has 3 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and regulations, including without limitation California Code of 4 5 Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 60119, and 60411, 6 and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations sections 630 7 and 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under 8 the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

9 If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 63. 10 Stonehurst Elementary School in Oakland as they have alleged, and 11 if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 12 students at said school, including plaintiffs Justin Sessions, 13 Joshua Sessions, Vincent Pulido, and Kiandra Pulido, of a basic 14 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 15 received by children in other schools, then the Oakland Unified 16 School District has violated its duties and obligations under 17 applicable statutes and regulations, including without limitation 18 California Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 19 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of 20 Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and obligations 21 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 22 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

64. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in
Webster Academy in Oakland as they have alleged, and if they are
also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at
said school of a basic education, or of basic educational
opportunities equal to those received by children in other
schools, then the Oakland Unified School District has violated

its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and
 regulations, including without limitation California Code of
 Education section 38118, and its duties and obligations under the
 laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code
 §§ 35290, 35293.

6 65. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 7 Whittier Elementary School in Oakland as they have alleged, and 8 if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 9 students at said school of a basic education, or of basic 10 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 11 other schools, then the Oakland Unified School District has 12 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 13 regulations and its duties and obligations under the laws and 14 under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 15 35293.

16 66. The Oakland Unified School District has the power 17 and ability to correct each of the conditions of which plaintiffs 18 complain.

19 67. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 20 conditions exist in Burbank Elementary School, Fremont High 21 School, Garfield Elementary School, Stonehurst Elementary School, 22 Webster Academy, or Whittier Elementary School in Oakland such as 23 they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students 24 at those schools, including plaintiffs Marcelies Grascie, Kim 25 Parks, Cesar Chavez, Christina Chavez, Justin Sessions, Joshua 26 Sessions, Vincent Pulido, and/or Kiandra Pulido, of a basic 27 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 28 received by children in other schools, the Oakland Unified School

1 District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct 2 such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the Oakland Unified School District will not correct such 3 4 conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 5 68. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 6 law. 7 8 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 9 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 10 (Against Cross-Defendant Ravenswood City 11 Elementary School District) 12 The allegations of paragraphs 1, 5, and 20 are 69. 13 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 14 set forth. 15 With respect to the Cesar Chavez Academy in East 70. 16 Palo Alto, California, which is operated by the Ravenswood City 17 Elementary School District, plaintiffs allege: 18 19 Plaintiffs Jose Gomez, Kristal Monje Ruiz, 137. 20 Myra Monje Ruiz, Sandra Hernandez, and Nadia 21 Angelica Hernandez attend Cesar Chavez Academy in 22 East Palo Alto . . . 23 24 138. The school also does not provide enough 25 textbooks for students to take home for homework. 26 Some classes do not have textbooks at all, and 27 some classes have too few textbooks for all 28 students to use without sharing during class. 39 CROSS-COMPLAINT EOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1 71. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 2 allege exist at Cesar Chavez Academy in East Palo Alto, 3 California deprive students attending that school, including 4 plaintiffs Jose Gomez, Kristal Monje Ruiz, Myra Monje Ruiz, 5 Sandra Hernandez, and Nadia Angelica Hernandez, of the 6 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 7 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 8 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in other schools receive. 9 10 72. With respect to the Edison-McNair Academy in East 11 Palo Alto, California, which is operated by the Ravenswood City 12 Elementary School District, plaintiffs allege: 13 14 136. Plaintiffs Candelaria Santos and 15 Carlos Santos attend Edison-McNair Academy 16 in East Palo Alto. In addition, 70 percent 17 of the students who attend Edison-McNair are 18 still learning the English language, so 19 these students have special educational 20 needs for which teachers require, but do not 21 have, additional training. 22 23 Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 73. 24 allege exist at Edison-McNair Academy in East Palo Alto deprive 25 students attending that school, including plaintiffs Candelaria 26 Santos and Carlos Santos, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 27 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 28 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 40

1 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 2 schools receive.

3 74. The Ravenswood City Elementary School District is 4 the agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 5 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 6 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 7 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 8 In carrying out its duties, the Ravenswood City Elementary School 9 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 10 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 11 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 12 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 13 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 14 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Ravenswood 15 City Elementary School District complies with its duties and 16 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 17 required in certain circumstances to act where the Ravenswood 18 City Elementary School District has failed. In this case, 19 plaintiffs seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; 20 the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental 21 interest in making sure that the Ravenswood City Elementary 22 School District has properly carried out the duties and 23 obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the 24 Constitution.

75. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in
Cesar Chavez Academy in East Palo Alto as they have alleged, and
if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving
students at said school, including plaintiffs Jose Gomez, Kristal

Monje Ruiz, Myra Monje Ruiz, Sandra Hernandez, and Nadia Angelica 1 2 Hernandez, of a basic education, or of basic educational 3 opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools, then the Ravenswood City Elementary School District has 4 5 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 6 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 7 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 8 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 9 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

10 If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 76. 11 Edison-McNair Academy in East Palo Alto as they have alleged, and 12 if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 13 students at said school, including plaintiffs Candelaria Santos 14 and Carlos Santos, of a basic education, or of basic educational 15 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 16 schools, then the District has violated its duties and 17 obligations under applicable statutes and regulations and its 18 duties and obligations under the Constitution of California. 19 Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

20 77. The Ravenswood City Elementary School District has
21 the power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
22 plaintiffs complain.

78. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that
conditions exist in Cesar Chavez or Edison-McNair Academies in
East Palo Alto such as they have alleged, which conditions result
in depriving students at those schools, including plaintiffs Jose
Gomez, Kristal Monje Ruiz, Myra Monje Ruiz, Sandra Hernandez,
Nadia Angelica Hernandez, Candelaria Santos, and/or Carlos

42

1 Santos, of a basic education, or of basic educational 2 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 3 schools, the Ravenswood City Elementary School District has a 4 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 5 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the 6 Ravenswood City Elementary School District will not correct such 7 conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 8 79. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 9 law. 10 11 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 12 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 13 (Against Cross-Defendant 14 Campbell Union Elementary School District) 15 80. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 6, and 20 are 16 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 17 set forth. 18 81. With respect to the Castlemont Elementary School 19 in Campbell, which is operated by the Campbell Union Elementary 20 School District, plaintiffs allege: 21 22 139. Plaintiffs Allison Schauer and Rachel 23 Schauer attend school at Castlemont Elementary 24 School in Campbell. At Castlemont, many 25 classrooms have no air conditioning, even though 26 classroom temperatures reach as high as 99 27 degrees in the fall and in the spring. Children 28 leave their classrooms on hot days with red faces 43

and they sweat in their sweltering classrooms. Children cannot concentrate on their lessons in extreme heat.

1

2

3

4

5 82. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 6 allege exist at Castlemont Elementary School in Campbell deprive 7 students attending that elementary school, including plaintiffs 8 Allison Schauer and Rachel Schauer, of the opportunity to obtain 9 a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of 10 the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of 11 basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in 12 other schools receive.

13 83. The Campbell Union Elementary School District is 14 the agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 15 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 16 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 17 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 18 In carrying out its duties, the Campbell Union Elementary School 19 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 20 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 21 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 22 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 23 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 24 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Campbell 25 Union Elementary School District complies with its duties and 26 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 27 required in certain circumstances to act where the Campbell Union 28 Elementary School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs

44

seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that the Campbell Union Elementary School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution.

6 84. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 7 Castlemont Elementary School in Campbell as they have alleged, 8 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 9 depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Allison 10 Schauer and Rachel Schauer, of a basic education, or of basic 11 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 12 other schools, then the Campbell Union Elementary School District 13 has violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes 14 and regulations, and its duties and obligations under the laws 15 and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code 16 §§ 35290, 35293.

17 85. The Campbell Union Elementary School District has
18 the power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
19 plaintiffs complain.

20 To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 86. 21 conditions exist in Castlemont Elementary School in Campbell such 22 as they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving 23 students at that school, including plaintiffs Allison Schauer and 24 Rachel Schauer, of a basic education, or of basic educational 25 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 26 schools, the Campbell Union Elementary School District has a 27 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 28 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the

45

1	Campbell Union Elementary School District will not correct such
2	conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so.
3	87. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at
4	law.
5	
6	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
7	SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION
8	(Against Cross-Defendant Cloverdale Unified School District)
9	88. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 7, and 20 are
10	realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully
11	set forth.
12	89. With respect to the Cloverdale High School in
13	Cloverdale, which is operated by the Cloverdale Unified School
14	District, plaintiffs allege:
15	
16	140. Plaintiffs Drew Smith, Gino Buchignani,
17	Jason Kehrli, and Jonathan Cambra attend school
18	at Cloverdale High School in Cloverdale. Very
19	few of the classrooms at Cloverdale High have air
20	conditioning, even though temperatures inside the
21	classrooms reach as high as 110 degrees and are
22	consistently extremely hot during the months of
23	August, September, October, May, and June.
24	Students in the classrooms without air
25	conditioning have difficulty concentrating and
26	learning in the extreme heat. The Cloverdale
27	High school calendar begins at the end of August
28	and ends in June, and the absence of air
	46 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

. •

٠.

1	conditioning severely undermines students'
2	ability to concentrate during hot days.
3	
4	141. Students cannot take books home for
5	homework in some classes, including science and
6	geography classes, because the school does not
7	have enough books for all the students in the
8	school. In addition, students in some classes,
9	including geography, do not have any books to use
10	at all.
11	
12	90. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
13	allege exist at Cloverdale High School in Cloverdale deprive
14	students attending that high school, including plaintiffs Drew
15	Smith, Gino Buchignani, Jason Kehrli, and Jonathan Cambra, of the
16	opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article
17	IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also
18	deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal
19	to those that children in other schools receive.
20	91. The Cloverdale Unified School District is the
21	agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a
22	public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to
23	the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in <u>Butt v.</u>
24	State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases.
25	In carrying out its duties, the Cloverdale Unified School
26	District is required to comply with applicable laws and
27	regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies
28	and departments of the State of California, and is required to
	47

1 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 2 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 3 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Cloverdale 4 Unified School District complies with its duties and obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be required in 5 certain circumstances to act where the Cloverdale Unified School 6 7 District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose 8 just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a 9 direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that 10 the Cloverdale Unified School District has properly carried out 11 the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and 12 the Constitution.

13 If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 92. 14 Cloverdale High School in Cloverdale as they have alleged, and if 15 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 16 students at said school, including plaintiffs Drew Smith, Gino 17 Buchignani, Jason Kehrli, and Jonathan Cambra, of a basic 18 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 19 received by children in other schools, then the Cloverdale 20 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 21 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 22 limitation California Code of Education sections 60119 and 60411, 23 and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the 24 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

25 93. The Cloverdale Unified School District has the
26 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
27 plaintiffs complain.

28

To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 1 94. conditions exist in Cloverdale High School in Cloverdale such as 2 3 they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students at that school, including plaintiffs Drew Smith, Gino Buchignani, 4 5 Jason Kehrli, and Jonathan Cambra, of a basic education, or of 6 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 7 children in other schools, the Cloverdale Unified School District 8 has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such 9 conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this 10 Court, the Cloverdale Unified School District will not correct 11 such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 12 95. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 13 law. 14 15 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 16 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 17 (Against Cross-Defendant Pioneer Union 18 Elementary School District) 19 96. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 8, and 20 are 20 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 21 set forth. 22 97. With respect to the Berry Creek Elementary School 23 in Berry Creek, California which is operated by the Pioneer Union 24 Elementary School District, plaintiffs allege: 25 26 142. Plaintiffs Christopher Barnard and Jacolyn 27 Barnard attend school at Berry Creek Elementary 28 School in Berry Creek. Many students at Berry 49 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

Creek Elementary School cannot take books home 1 2 for homework because the school does not have enough textbooks for all the students. 3 And students in the three middle school grades at 4 5 Berry Creek must share books in class because the school does not even have enough textbooks for 6 7 the students to use along during class time. Sharing books during class slows students' 8 9 learning. 10 11 143. The school requires students to purchase a 12 binder of instructional materials from the school 13 each year. 14 15 98. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 16 allege exist at Berry Creek Elementary School in Berry Creek, 17 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 18 plaintiffs Christopher Barnard and Jacolyn Barnard, of the 19 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 20 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 21 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal 22 to those that children in other schools receive. 23 99. The Berry Creek Elementary School District is the 24 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 25 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 26 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 27 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 28 In carrying out its duties, the Berry Creek Elementary School 50

1 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 2 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 3 and departments of the State of California, and is required to comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 4 5 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 6 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Berry Creek 7 Elementary School District complies with its duties and 8 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 9 required in certain circumstances to act where the Berry Creek 10 Elementary School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs 11 seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State 12 accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in 13 making sure that the Berry Creek Elementary School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it 14 15 by the Legislature and the Constitution.

16 100. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 17 Berry Creek Elementary School in Berry Creek as they have 18 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 19 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs 20 Christopher Barnard and Jacolyn Barnard, of a basic education, or 21 of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 22 children in other schools, then the Berry Creek Elementary School 23 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 24 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 25 Code of Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 26 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 27 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

101. The Berry Creek Elementary School District has the
 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
 plaintiffs complain.

Ŭ Ŭ		
4	102. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that	
5	conditions exist in Berry Creek Elementary School in Berry Creek	
6	such as they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving	
7	students at that school, including plaintiffs Christopher Barnard	
8	and Jacolyn Barnard, of a basic education, or of basic	
9	educational opportunities equal to those received by children in	
10.	other schools, the Berry Creek Elementary School District has a	
11	mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions.	
12	Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the Berry	
13	Creek Elementary School District will not correct such	
14	conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so.	
15	103. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at	
16	law.	
17		
18	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR	
19	SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION	
20	(Against Cross-Defendant Pajaro Valley	
21	Unified School District)	
22	104. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 9, and 20 are	
23	realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully	
24	set forth.	
25	105. With respect to the Watsonville High School in	
26	Watsonville, which is operated by the Pajaro Valley Unified	
27	School District, plaintiffs allege:	
28		
	52	
	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION	ł

144. Plaintiff Manuel V. Ortiz attends school at Watsonville High School in Watsonville. In some classes at Watsonville High, including U.S. history, students have no books to use at all. Students have to share books in class in some courses, including world history. And students cannot take books home for homework in some classes, including Spanish and world history. The economics and government texts in use at the school were both published in the 1980s, so the books reflect outdated and inaccurate theories and events.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

147. School construction takes place during school hours, and the noise from the construction is so loud that students in nearby classrooms cannot hear their teachers or other students during class time.

148. Bathrooms are often locked at Watsonville High so students cannot get into them. The school does not have enough open and unlocked bathrooms for the students to use.

25 106. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
26 allege exist at Watsonville High School in Watsonville deprive
27 students attending that high school, including plaintiff Manuel
28 V. Ortiz, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in

53

violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California
 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic
 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other
 schools receive.

5 107. The Pajaro Valley Unified School District is the 6 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 7 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 8 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 9 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 10 In carrying out its duties, the Pajaro Valley Unified School 11 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 12 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and departments of the State of California, and is required to 13 14 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 15 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 16 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Pajaro 17 Valley Unified School District complies with its duties and 18 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 19 required in certain circumstances to act where the Pajaro Valley 20 Unified School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs 21 seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State 22 accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in 23 making sure that the Pajaro Valley Unified School District has 24 properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it 25 by the Legislature and the Constitution.

26 108. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 27 Watsonville High School in Watsonville as they have alleged, and 28 if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving

students at said school, including plaintiff Manuel V. Ortiz, of 1 2 a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to 3 those received by children in other schools, then the Pajaro 4 Valley Unified School District has violated its duties and 5 obligations under applicable statutes and regulations, including 6 without limitation California Code of Education sections 17576, 7 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the California 8 Code of Regulations section 631, and its duties and obligations 9 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 10 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

11 109. The Pajaro Valley Unified School District has the 12 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which 13 plaintiffs complain.

14 110. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 15 conditions exist in Watsonville High School in Watsonville such 16 as they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving 17 students at that school, including plaintiff Manuel V. Ortiz, of 18 a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to 19 those received by children in other schools, the Pajaro Valley 20 Unified School District has a mandatory and non-discretionary 21 duty to correct such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined 22 by order of this Court, the Pajaro Valley Unified School District 23 will not correct such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do 24 so.

25 111. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at26 law.

55

- 27
- 28

1 NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 2 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 3 (Against Cross-Defendant Fresno Unified School District) 4 112. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 10, and 20 are 5 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 6 set forth. 7 113. With respect to the Morris E. Dailey Elementary 8 School in Fresno, which is operated by the Fresno Unified School 9 District, plaintiffs allege: 10 11 149. Plaintiffs Maria Imperatrice, Catherine 12 Fipps, Jason Fipps and Axel Fipps attend Morris 13 E. Dailey Elementary School in Fresno. At 14 Dailey, students do not have books they can take 15 home for homework. The teachers are supplied 16 only 500 sheets of paper per month to use to make 17 copies, so teachers do no have enough paper to 18 make copies for children to take home for 19 homework every night. In addition to missing 20 books and copy paper, classes also are missing 21 basic supplies such as pencils and erasers. 22 Parents buy such supplies, including paper, for 23 the school so their children will not lack basic 24 learning tools. 25 26 150. One of the school bathrooms is locked all 27 day, every day, so there are not enough open 28 bathrooms available for children's use. Children 56 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1 have urinated or defecated on themselves at 2 school because toilets were locked when they 3 needed to use the restroom. 4 5 151. Classroom temperatures fluctuate between 6 very cold and very hot, depending on temperature 7 outside. Classrooms do not have functioning air 8 conditioning and heating systems. 9 10 11 114. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 12 allege exist at Morris E. Dailey Elementary School in Fresno 13 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 14 plaintiffs Maria Imperatrice, Catherine Fipps, Jason Fipps, and 15 Axel Fipps, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 16

17 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic
18 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other
19 schools receive.

20 115. The Fresno Unified School District is the agent of 21 the State of California for purposes of providing a public school 22 education to children within its jurisdiction, to the extent 23 defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. State of 24 California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. In 25 carrying out its duties, the Fresno Unified School District is 26 required to comply with applicable laws and regulations 27 promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and 28 departments of the State of California, and is required to comply

57

1 with the Constitution of California and the Constitution of the 2 United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California 3 has a direct interest in ensuring that the Fresno Unified School 4 District complies with its duties and obligations in this regard 5 since, under Butt, the State may be required in certain circumstances to act where the Fresno Unified School District has 6 7 In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an failed. 8 obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct 9 financial and governmental interest in making sure that the 10 Fresno Unified School District has properly carried out the 11 duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the 12 Constitution.

13 116. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 14 Morris E. Dailey Elementary School in Fresno as they have 15 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 16 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Maria 17 Imperatrice, Catherine Fipps, Jason Fipps, and Axel Fipps, of a 18 basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to 19 those received by children in other schools, then the Fresno 20 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 21 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 22 limitation California Code of Education sections 17576, 38118, 23 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of 24 Regulations section 631, and its duties and obligations under the 25 laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code 26 §§ 35290, 35293.

- 27
- 28

1 117. The Fresno Unified School District has the power
 and ability to correct each of the conditions of which plaintiffs
 complain.

118. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 4 5 conditions exist in Morris E. Dailey Elementary School in Fresno 6 such as they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving 7 students at that school, including plaintiffs Maria Imperatrice, 8 Catherine Fipps, Jason Fipps, and Axel Fipps, of a basic 9 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 10 received by children in other schools, the Fresno Unified School 11 District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct 12 such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this 13 Court, the Fresno Unified School District will not correct such 14 conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 15 119. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 16 law. 17 18 TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 19 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 20 (Against Cross-Defendant Visalia Unified School District) 21 120. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 11, and 20 are 22 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 23 set forth. 24 121. With respect to the Redwood High School in 25 Visalia, which is operated by the Visalia Unified School 26 District, plaintiffs allege: 27 28 59 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

154. Plaintiff Heidi Karnes attends school at 1 2 Redwood High School in Visalia. At Redwood, many of the classrooms do not have heat or air 3 conditioning. In winter, students have to wear 4 5 extra layers of clothing to keep warm in class. 6 In the fall and spring, classroom temperatures 7 reach as high as 93 degrees and students are uncomfortably hot during their classes. 8 Teachers 9 have told parents that students do not learn for 10 weeks at a time because of the extreme heat in 11 the classrooms. 12 13 155. Light fixtures in some classrooms are so 14 old that when it rains water flows through the 15 light fixtures. Some of the classrooms and 16 hallways have such poor lighting that students 17 have to strain to see and to read. 18 19 122. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 20 allege exist at Redwood High School in Visalia deprive students 21 attending that high school, including plaintiff Heidi Karnes, of 22 the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of 23 Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and 24 also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities 25 equal to those that children in other schools receive. 26 123. With respect to the Mount Whitney High School in 27 Visalia, which is operated by the Visalia Unified School 28 District, plaintiffs allege: 60

1 156. Plaintiff Jeffrey D. Seals attends school 2 at Mount Whitney High School in Visalia. At 3 Mount Whitney, students do not have textbooks to use in some English classes. Many textbooks in 4 5 use at the school are so old and outdated that 6 they were published in the 1970s. Students 7 cannot take even these books home for homework in 8 many of their classes because the school does not 9 have enough books for all the students. 10 11 The school only has three bathrooms for 158. 12 boys and three bathrooms for girls, with four 13 stalls in each bathroom, in a school with 14 Students have to approximately 2000 students. 15 wait in long lines to use the bathrooms during 16 break periods and during lunch because the school has only one stall for approximately every 80 17 18 girls and for approximately every 80 boys. 19 20 159. Ceiling material is missing and falling in 21 the band room, and the ceiling leaks on the 22 saxophone section on rainy days. 23 24 One of the portable classrooms at Mount 160. 25 Whitney has mushrooms and fungus growing inside 26 it. 27 28

1 124. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 2 allege exist at Mount Whitney High School in Visalia deprive students attending that high school, including plaintiff Jeffrey 3 4 D. Seals, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 5 6 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 7 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 8 schools receive.

9 125. The Visalia Unified School District is the agent 10 of the State of California for purposes of providing a public 11 school education to children within its jurisdiction, to the 12 extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 13 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 14 In carrying out its duties, the Visalia Unified School District 15 is required to comply with applicable laws and regulations 16 promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and 17 departments of the State of California, and is required to comply 18 with the Constitution of California and the Constitution of the 19 United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California 20 has a direct interest in ensuring that the Visalia Unified School 21 District complies with its duties and obligations in this regard 22 since, under Butt, the State may be required in certain 23 circumstances to act where the Visalia Unified School District 24 has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an 25 obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct 26 financial and governmental interest in making sure that the 27 Visalia Unified School District has properly carried out the

28

duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the
 Constitution.

3 126. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Redwood High School in Visalia as they have alleged, and if they 4 5 are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 6 students at said school, including plaintiff Heidi Karnes, of a 7 basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools, then the Visalia 8 9 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 10 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 11 limitation California Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 12 and 17593, and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations 13 section 630, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 14 15 35293.

16 127. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Mount Whitney High School in Visalia as they have alleged, and if 17 18 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 19 students at said school, including plaintiff Jeffrey D. Seals, of 20 a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to 21 those received by children in other schools, then the Visalia 22 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 23 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 24 limitation California Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 25 17576, 17593, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the 26 California Code of Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its 27 duties and obligations under the laws and under the Constitution 28 of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

1 128. The Visalia Unified School District has the power
 and ability to correct each of the conditions of which plaintiffs
 complain.

- .

ľ	
4	129. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that
5	conditions exist in Redwood High School or Mount Whitney High
6	School in Visalia such as they have alleged, which conditions
7	result in depriving students at those schools, including
8	plaintiffs Heidi Karnes and/or Jeffrey D. Seals, of a basic
9	education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those
10	received by children in other schools, the Visalia Unified School
11	District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct
12	such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this
13	Court, the Visalia Unified School District will not correct such
14	conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so.
15	130. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at
16	law.
17	
18	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
19	SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION
20	(Against Cross-Defendant Merced City Elementary School District)
21	131. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 12, and 20 are
22	realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully
23	set forth herein.
24	132. With respect to the Tenaya Middle School in
25	Merced, which is operated by the Merced City Elementary School
26	District, plaintiffs allege:
27	
28	
	64
	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1 161. Plaintiff Theresa Ensminger attends Tenaya 2 Middle School in Merced. At Tanaya, students are 3 required to pay fees to take band and cooking 4 courses and to plan on some athletic teams. 5 6 133. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 7 allege exist at Tenaya Middle School in Merced deprive students 8 attending that middle school, including plaintiff Theresa 9 Ensminger, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in 10 violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California 11 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 12 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 13 schools receive. 14 134. The Merced City Elementary School District is the 15 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 16 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to

17 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 18 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 19 In carrying out its duties, the Merced City Elementary School 20 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 21 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 22 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 23 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 24 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 25 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Merced City 26 Elementary School District complies with its duties and 27 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 28 required in certain circumstances to act where the Merced City

Elementary School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that the Merced City Elementary School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution.

7 135. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 8 Tenaya Middle School in Merced as they have alleged, and if they 9 are also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Theresa Ensminger, 10 11 of a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal 12 to those received by children in other schools, then the Merced City Elementary School District has violated its duties and 13 14 obligations under applicable statutes and regulations, and its 15 duties and obligations under the laws and under the Constitution 16 of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

17 136. The Merced City Elementary School District has the
18 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
19 plaintiffs complain.

20 137. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 21 conditions exist in Tenaya Middle School in Merced such as they 22 have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students at 23 that school, including plaintiff Theresa Ensminger, of a basic 24 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 25 received by children in other schools, the Merced City Elementary 26 School District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to 27 correct such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order

of this Court, the Merced City Elementary School District will 1 2 not correct such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 3 138. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 4 law. 5 6 TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 7 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 8 (Against Alhambra City Elementary School District) 9 139. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 13, and 20 are 10 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 11 set forth. 12 140. With respect to the Brightwood Elementary School 13 in Monterey Park, which is operated by the Alhambra City 14 Elementary School District, plaintiffs allege: 15 16 Plaintiffs Kelsey Gin and Alexander Nobori 162. 17 attend Brightwood Elementary School in Monterey 18 Park. At Brightwood, many classrooms have no air 19 conditioning. For one third of the school year, 20 classroom temperatures in the rooms without air 21 conditioning become extremely hot, reaching as 22 high as 110 degrees. 23 24 163. The school does not have enough books for 25 all the students. In one science class during 26 the 1999-2000 school year, the teacher had no 27 science books at the beginning of the year and 28 ultimately obtained only approximately ten books 67 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

for his class of more than 30 students. The history books in use at the school still name George Bush as the current President of the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

164. Bathrooms at the school are filthy and students are reluctant to use them. Students must choose: concentrate on their bladders instead of their studies or face health risks by using school restrooms.

12 141. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 13 allege exist at Brightwood Elementary School in Monterey Park 14 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 15 plaintiffs Kelsey Gin and Alexander Nobori, of the opportunity to 16 obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 17 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those 18 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that 19 children in other schools receive.

20 142. The Alhambra City Elementary School District is 21 the agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 22 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 23 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 24 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 25 In carrying out its duties, the Alhambra City Elementary School 26 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 27 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 28 and departments of the State of California, and is required to

1 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 2 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 3 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Alhambra 4 City Elementary School District complies with its duties and 5 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 6 required in certain circumstances to act where the Alhambra City 7 Elementary School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs 8 seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State 9 accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in 10 making sure that the Alhambra City Elementary School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it 11 12 by the Legislature and the Constitution.

13 143. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 14 Brightwood Elementary School in Monterey Park as they have 15 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 16 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Kelsey 17 Gin and Alexander Nobari, of a basic education, or of basic 18 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 19 other schools, then the Alhambra City Elementary School District 20 has violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes 21 and regulations, including without limitation California Code of 22 Education sections 17576, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and 23 Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations sections 630 and 24 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the 25 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

144. The Alhambra City Elementary School District has
the power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
plaintiffs complain.

1 145. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 2 conditions exist in Brightwood Elementary School in Monterey Park 3 such as they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students at that school, including plaintiffs Kelsey Gin and 4 5 Alexander Nobari, of a basic education, or of basic educational 6 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 7 schools, the Alhambra City Elementary School District has a 8 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 9 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the 10 Alhambra City Elementary School District will not correct such 11 conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 12 146. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 13 law. 14 15 THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 16 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 17 (Against Cross-Defendant Alhambra City High School District) 18 147. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 14 and 20 are 19 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 20 set forth. 21 148. With respect to the Mark Keppel High School in 22 Alhambra, which is operated by the Alhambra City High School 23 District, plaintiffs allege: 24 25 165. Plaintiffs Kenny Yee, Tiffany Gin, John 26 Nobori, and Nicholas Nobori attend Mark Keppel 27 High School in Alhambra. The economics textbook 28 in use at Mark Keppel was last updated in 1986. 70 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

1 An advanced-placement literature text used at the 2 school was last updated in the 1960s. The school does not have enough novels and short-story 3 collections for all English courses at the same 4 5 level to use the same books at the same time. 6 Instead, students in one class must lose 7 instructional time waiting until students in 8 another class finish a book before students in 9 the first class may begin reading the book. 10 11 166. Gym ceiling tiles are missing and fall when 12 students are playing sports or attending school 13 dances in the gym. Approximately one third of 14 the seats in the auditorium are missing, so 15 students have to stand during school assemblies. 16 17 167. Mark Keppel does not have enough bathrooms 18 to accommodate all the students at school. In 19 the boys' bathroom in the main building, none of 20 the stalls has a door. There are only 21 approximately 15 stalls for boys and 23 stalls 22 for girls in the entire school. . 23 24 168. Temperatures have reached as high as 120 25 degrees in a class taught in a corrugated metal 26 Temperatures in other classrooms regularly shed. 27 reach as high as 90 degrees. 28

71

149. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 1 2 allege exist at Mark Keppel High School in Alhambra deprive 3 students attending that high school, including plaintiffs Kenny 4 Yee, Tiffany Gin, John Nobori, and Nicholas Nobori, of the 5 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 6 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 7 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal 8 to those that children in other schools receive.

9 150. The Alhambra City High School District is the 10 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 11 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 12 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 13 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 14 In carrying out its duties, the Alhambra City High School 15 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 16 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 17 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 18 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 19 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 20 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Alhambra 21 City High School District complies with its duties and 22 obligations in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be 23 required in certain circumstances to act where the Alhambra City 24 High School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek 25 to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State 26 accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in 27 making sure that the Alhambra City High School District has

28

properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it
 by the Legislature and the Constitution.

3 151. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 4 Mark Keppel High School in Alhambra as they have alleged, and if 5 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 6 students at said school, including plaintiffs Kenny Yee, Tiffany 7 Gin, John Nobori, and Nicholas Nobori, of a basic education, or 8 of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 9 children in other schools, then the Alhambra City High School 10 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 11 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 60045, 12 13 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the California Code of 14 Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and obligations 15 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 16 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

17 152. The Alhambra City High School District has the
18 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
19 plaintiffs complain.

20 153. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 21 conditions exist in Mark Keppel High School in Alhambra such as 22 they have alleged, which conditions result in depriving students 23 at that school, including plaintiffs Kenny Yee, Tiffany Gin, John 24 Nobori, and Nicholas Nobori, of a basic education, or of basic 25 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 26 other schools, the Alhambra City High School District has a 27 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 28 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the

1 Alhambra City High School District will not correct such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 2 154. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 3 4 law. 5 6 FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 7 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION (Against Los Angeles Unified School District) 8 9 155. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 15, and 20 are 10 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 11 set forth. 156. With respect to the Belmont Senior High School in 12 13 Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School 14 District, plaintiffs allege: 15 16 Plaintiff Hilda Oliva attends Belmont 202. 17 Senior High School in Los Angeles . . 18 19 At Belmont, students do not have books to 203. 20 use in class or to take home for homework in some 21 The school limits the number of classes. 22 photocopies teachers can make, and teachers often 23 reach their limit well before semesters end 24 because these teachers have no textbooks to use 25 for their students and so they must copy large 26 guantities of material. 27 28 74 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

204. Students often cannot perform lab 1 2 experiments in science classes because the school 3 does not have enough material for the experiments. 4 5 6 205. Some classrooms are missing ceiling tiles, 7 and the roof leaks in some classes. 8 9 206. Belmont is on a year-round, multitrack 10 schedule. The school divides students into three separate tracks, with two tracks on campus at any 11 12 given time. Belmont students receive 13 approximately 20 fewer days of instruction each 14 year than do students who do not attend 15 multitrack schools. Students cover less material 16 in their classes than they would cover if they 17 attended school for more days because teachers 18 cannot make up the missed days with extra 19 In addition, the multitrack scheduling homework. 20 means that no school time exists during which no 21 students attend school, so it is difficult and 22 sometimes impossible for the school to perform 23 maintenance and repair without impeding 24 children's education. 25 26 157. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 27 allege exist at Belmont Senior High School in Los Angeles deprive 28 students attending that high school, including plaintiff Hilda 75

Oliva, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in
 violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California
 Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic
 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other
 schools receive.

6 158. With respect to the Berendo Middle School in Los
7 Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School
8 District, plaintiffs allege:

10 Plaintiffs Jose Negrete, Jose Valencia, and 174. Hanover Mares attend Berendo Middle School in Los 11 Angeles. At Berendo, students watch movies 12 13 instead of receiving instruction in some of their classes. Some students have seen 20 or more 14 noneducational movies in school this year, 15 16 including The Blair Witch Project, Scream, and 17 The Sixth Sense.

18

25

9

19 175. Students do not have textbooks for many of
20 their classes. In some English and history
21 classes, students have no books at all, not even
22 books to use in class. Some students take
23 instruction in only one class-math-for which they
24 use a textbook.

26 159. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
27 allege exist at Berendo Middle School in Los Angeles deprive
28 students attending that middle school, including plaintiffs Jose

Negrete, Jose Valencia, and Hanover Mares, of the opportunity to
 obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1
 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those
 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that
 children in other schools receive.

6 160. With respect to the Bret Harte Preparatory
7 Intermediate School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los
8 Angeles Unified School District, plaintiffs allege:

10 184. Plaintiff Maria Muñiz attends Bret Harte
11 Preparatory Intermediate School in Los Angeles.
12 At Bret Harte, students see rats, mice, roaches,
13 and ants in the classrooms.

15 185. Students cannot take books home for
16 homework in many classes, including history and
17 English classes, because the school does not have
18 enough books for all the students. In addition,
19 some students have to share books during class
20 time because the school does not have enough
21 books even for students to use in class.

186. Students have to sit on tables or stand in some classes for weeks without relief because Bret Harte does not have enough seats for all the students in all the classes.

28

27

22

23

24

25

26

9

14

1 187. The school does not have enough supplies 2 for students to perform science experiments 3 themselves. Science teachers require students to 4 bring money to buy worms or insects for 5 dissection, and if students do not purchase the 6 worms and insects then the students cannot 7 perform dissections. 8 9 188. The school keeps open only two of the eight 10 bathrooms, so students do not have enough 11 bathrooms to use at school. The bathrooms that 12 are open are filthy. 13 14 189. Ceiling tiles are missing from several 15 classrooms. In addition, ceiling tiles have 16 fallen when students are in classrooms, making it 17 dangerous for students to sit in their classes. 18 19 190. The school operates on a year-round, 20 multitrack schedule, dividing its students into 21 three separate tracks, with two tracks at school 22 at any given time. Students receive 23 approximately 20 fewer days of instruction each 24 year than do students who do not attend 25 multitrack schools. Students cover less material 26 in their classes than they would cover if they 27 attended school for more days because teachers 28 cannot make up the missed days with extra

78

1 homework. In addition, the multitrack scheduling 2 means that no school time exists during which no 3 students attend school, so it is difficult and 4 sometimes impossible for the school to perform 5 maintenance and repair without impeding 6 children's education. 7 8 161. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 9 allege exist at Bret Harte Preparatory Intermediate School in Los 10 Angeles deprive students attending that school, including 11 plaintiff Maria Muñiz, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 12 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 13 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 14 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 15 schools receive. 16 162. With respect to the Cahuenga Elementary School in 17 Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School 18 District, plaintiffs allege: 19 20 169. Plaintiffs Oscar Ruiz, Josue Herrera, 21 Abraham Perez, Carlos Perez, Juan Salguero, 22 Graciela Solano, Rafael Solano, and Samuel 23 Tellechea attend Cahuega Elementary School in Los 24 Angeles; Plaintiff Jonathan Tellechea resides in 25 the Cahuenga Elementary School area but is bussed 26 to another school because Cahuenga has no room 27 for him. At Cahuenga, overcrowding is so severe 28 that the school has resorted to a three-track 79

1 schedule for student attendance, such that two 2 tracks of students attend school at any given 3 The multitrack scheduling means that no time. school time exists when the school is vacant, so 4 5 it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the 6 school to perform maintenance and repair without 7 impeding children's education. In addition, the 8 school houses approximately 1297 students, but 9 another 1300 elementary school children are 10 bussed to schools in other neighborhoods every day because Cahuenga has no room for them. 11 12 13 170. Children at Cahuenga have no books to take 14 home and are missing many school supplies. 15 Parents have purchased crayons, glue, scissors, 16 and pencils to provide the school so their 17 children may have supplies to use to learn. 18 19 172. The school does not have enough bathrooms 20 available to the children. Two of the school's 21 three sets of bathrooms are almost always closed 22 so the children cannot go inside. The bathroom 23 that is most often open to children is filthy and 24 lacks toilet paper and soap. 25 26 27 28 80

173. The cafeteria area where children eat is 1 filthy. Parents have seen custodial staff wipe 2 the tables with mops the custodians have used to 3 clean the floors. 4 5 163. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 6 7 allege exist at Cahuenga Elementary School in Los Angeles deprive 8 students attending that elementary school, including plaintiffs Oscar Ruiz, Josue Herrera, Abraham Perez, Carlos Perez, Juan 9 10 Salquero, Graciela Solano, Rafael Solano, and Samuel Tellechea, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of 11 Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and 12 also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities 13 equal to those that children in other schools receive. 14 164. With respect to the Crenshaw Senior High School in 15 Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School 16 17 District, plaintiffs allege: 18 Plaintiffs Delwin Lampkin and D'Andre 19 207. 20 Lampkin attend school at Crenshaw Senior High School in Los Angeles. At Crenshaw, students 21 22 regularly see rats, mice, and roaches in their classrooms and in the locker rooms. 23 24 In several classes at the school, as many 25 208. as ten students have to stand in class or sit on 26 27 counters because they do not have enough seats 28 for all the students in their classes. These 81

students use hard objects, such as stray boards, 1 2 on which to write because they do not have desks. 3 209. Students cannot take books home for 4 5 homework in many classes, and in some classes 6 students do not have any books at all because the 7 school does not have enough books for all the In the classes for which students do 8 students. 9 not have textbooks, they have to rely on 10 photocopied packets from their teachers. 11 12 165. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 13 allege exist at Crenshaw High School in Los Angeles deprive 14 students attending that high school, including plaintiffs Delwin 15 Lampkin and D'Andre Lampkin, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 16 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 17 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 18 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 19 schools receive. 20 166. With respect to the Daniel Webster Middle School 21 in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified 22 School District, plaintiffs allege: 23 24 Plaintiff Flor Osorio attends Daniel 182. 25 Webster Middle School in Los Angeles. At Daniel 26 Webster many students do not have sufficient 27 books to use in class or take home, particularly 28 in science classes. Some students have not had 82

1 science homework in two years because of the 2 shortage of books. 3 4 183. The school does not have enough bathrooms for the students' use. Two of the bathrooms at 5 6 the school are locked every day all day, so 7 students cannot use them. The girls' bathrooms 8 that are open are generally filthy and smell 9 foul. 10 11 167. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they allege exist at Daniel Webster Middle School in Los Angeles 12 13 deprive students attending that middle school, including 14 plaintiff Flor Osorio, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 15 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the

16 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 17 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 18 schools receive.

19 168. With respect to the George Washington Carver
20 Middle School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los
21 Angeles Unified School District, plaintiffs allege:

22

176. Plaintiffs Daniel Pastor and Francisco
Tenorio attend George Washington Carver Middle
School in Los Angeles. At Carver, students have
to share books with each other in class because
teachers do not even have one full class set of
books.

83

177. Students have seen rats in several 1 2 classrooms during class sessions. 3 4 178. School computers cannot access the 5 Internet. Students can only use the computers 6 for word processing, but they cannot do any 7 computer-based research. 8 9 169. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 10 allege exist at George Washington Carver Middle School in Los 11 Angeles deprive students attending that middle school, including 12 plaintiffs Daniel Pastor and Francisco Tenorio, of the 13 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 14 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 15 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in other schools receive. 16 17 170. With respect to the Gulf Avenue Elementary School 18 in Wilmington, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified 19 School District, plaintiffs allege: 20 21 242. Plaintiffs Erika Hernandez, Ruth Mata, and 22 Sonya Mata attend school at Gulf Avenue 23 Elementary School in Wilmington. Gulf has a 24 severe shortage of textbooks. Students do not 25 have enough books to use in class and cannot take 26 books home for homework in many classes. The 27 books the students have to use are so old and 28 dilapidated that pages are missing from many of 84

the books and students cannot follow along with their lessons in class because they do not have the corresponding pages in their textbooks.

243. The school is on a year-round, multitrack schedule, with students divided among three tracks and with two tracks on campus at any given time. Students receive approximately 20 fewer school days of instruction each year than do students who do not attend multitrack schools. Students cover less material in their classes than they would cover if they attended school for more days because teachers cannot make up the missed days with extra homework. In addition, the multitrack scheduling means that no school time exists during which no students attend school, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding children's education.

245. The school often has unfilled teacher vacancies when new school terms begin. Students take instruction from a series of short-term substitute teachers while they wait for the school to fill the teacher vacancies. Students sometimes must wait months before they have a permanent teacher, and in the meantime students

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 have as many as five different substitute 2 teachers in a single month. 3 4 246. Students see rats, rat droppings, roaches, 5 and ants in their classrooms. 6 7 247. The school requires students to pick up 8 trash around the school during their 9 instructional time. Classes rotate weeks when 10 they must clean the school, and when it is a 11 class' turn, the class must spend five minutes of 12 their reading time picking up such items as beer 13 bottles, used condoms, broken glass, cigarette 14 butts, and bullets. 15 16 171. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 17 allege exist at Gulf Avenue Elementary School in Wilmington 18 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 19 plaintiffs Erika Hernandez, Ruth Mata, and Sonya Mata, of the 20 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 21 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 22 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal 23 to those that children in other schools receive. 24 172. With respect to the Huntington Park Senior High 25 School in Huntington Park, which is operated by the Los Angeles 26 Unified School District, plaintiffs allege: 27 28 86 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

Huntington Park High is a year-round, 239. multitrack school. The school divides students into three separate tracks, with two tracks at school at any given time. Students receive approximately 20 fewer school days of instruction each year than do students who do not attend multitrack schools. Students cover less material in their classes than they would cover if they attended school for more days because teachers cannot make up the missed days with extra homework. In addition, the multitrack scheduling means that no school time exists when the school is vacant, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding children's education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

240. Many extracurricular activities and academic courses at the school are offered only on one track, so students on the other tracks cannot take the courses or participate in the extracurricular activities. For example, advanced placement chemistry, advanced placement calculus, psychology, sewing, and band are only offered on A track, and drafting is only offered on C track. In addition, sports teams must practice and play games during their seasons, so students who are off track during particular

athletic seasons have difficulty participating in the activities.

241. Students regularly see rats, mice, and roaches on campus and in the classrooms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

18

7 173. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 8 allege exist at Huntington Park Senior High School in Huntington 9 Park deprive students attending that high school, including 10 plaintiffs Lizette Ruiz and Geyman Hernandez, of the opportunity 11 to obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 12 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that 13 14 children in other schools receive.

15 174. With respect to the John C. Fremont Senior High
16 School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles
17 Unified School District, plaintiffs allege:

19 218. Plaintiffs Cindy Diego and Glauz Diego 20 attend John C. Fremont Senior High School in Los 21 Angeles. At Fremont, as many as three students 22 must share a single book during class time in 23 some classes because the school does not have 24 enough books for all the students. The students 25 who share books in class cannot take books home 26 for homework. Students in many other classes 27 also cannot take books home for homework, even if 28 they were able to use books without sharing

88

during class time. These students take home 1 incomplete worksheets and photocopied lessons, 2 but they cannot refer to explanatory and 3 background information at home because they do 4 not have books. Students in some classes do not 5 have any books at all. The books the students do 6 have to use in school are often old and tattered, 7 with pages missing and covers falling apart. 8 9 Students in many classes have to stand in 219. 10 class because the school does not have enough 11 seats for all the students in all the classes. 12 13 The school does not offer enough courses 14 220. During the 1999-2000 for all the students. 15 school year, the school offered no courses at all 16 for the final period of the day because the 17 school did not have enough courses to support all 18 In addition, many Fremont students 19 the students. take "service" classes because academic classes 20 are not available to the students. 21 In service classes, students sit in the back of other 22 classes that they are neither auditing nor taking 23 for credit and run errands for teachers. 24 25 Students often do not have permanent 26 221. 27 teachers for their courses when the school year

89

28

begins, and in some courses students never get

permanent teachers for an entire semester. These students take instruction from a series of substitutes while they wait for the school to obtain permanent teachers for their classes. Often, the substitute teachers who teach these courses are not trained in the subjects for which they substitute teach. For example, math and English teachers substitute in U.S. history classes. Students in one advanced placement history course did not have a permanent teacher during their second semester of the course until approximately three weeks before the students were scheduled to take their advanced placement test. The students found it impossible to catch up on the material they missed while they had a series of short-term substitute teachers, and many students did not take the advanced placement test because they did not feel prepared after not having had a teacher for their course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 222. Fremont operates on a year-round, 22 multitrack schedule, with students at the school 23 divided into three separate tracks and two tracks 24 on campus at any given time. Fremont students 25 receive approximately 20 fewer school days of 26 instruction each year than do students who do not 27 attend multitrack schools. Students cover less 28 material in their classes than they would cover

if they attended school for more days because teachers cannot make up the missed days with extra homework. And students do not retain as much information as they would retain if they were not "off track," or out of school on breaks, for so many extended periods during their semesters. The multitrack scheduling means that no school time exists during which no students attend school, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding children's education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

223. Many academic electives, such as geography, psychology, and science, are not offered on all three tracks at the school. Students who wish to take those courses do not have access to the courses if they are not offered on the tracks to which the school assigns the students.

20 224. Only one or two of the school's bathrooms 21 are open and unlocked for girls to use. Of the 22 five stalls in the one bathroom that is most 23 frequently open, one of the stalls is missing a 24 toilet and a door, so all the girls in an 25 approximately 4200-person school have only four 26 bathroom stalls available to them in that 27 bathroom. Students have to wait in long lines to 28 be able to use the toilets.

1 Many of the classrooms at Fremont do not 2 225. have air conditioning, even though the school 3 operates on a year-round schedule. As a result, 4 5 students take instruction during the extreme heat 6 of summer in Los Angeles in stifling classrooms. 7 Students become red-faced and unable to concentrate on their lessons because the heat in 8 their classrooms is so intense. 9 10 Students frequently see rats and 11 226. cockroaches at school. 12 13 175. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 14 allege exist at John C. Fremont Senior High School in Los Angeles 15 deprive students attending that high school, including plaintiffs 16 Cindy Diego and Glauz Diego, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 17 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 18 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 19 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 20 21 schools receive. 22 176. With respect to the Marina del Rey Middle School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified 23 School District, plaintiffs allege: 24 25 26 Plaintiff Patricia Figueroa attends Marina 179. 27 del Rey Middle School in Los Angeles. Some 28 classes at Marina del Rey do not have permanent 92 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

teachers when the school year begins and for months thereafter. Students in these classes receive instruction from a series of substitute teachers until the school hires permanent teachers for the classes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

180. The school does not have enough books for all the students. In one science class, students did not have textbooks during the entire second semester. Students in some English and science classes cannot take their textbooks home for homework. Some textbooks in use at the school are badly outdated and some pages are missing altogether.

181. The school does not have enough open and unlocked bathrooms for all students. Some of the bathrooms that are open are dirty and sometimes lack toilet paper.

21 177. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 22 allege exist at Marina del Rey Middle School in Los Angeles 23 deprive students attending that middle school, including 24 plaintiff Patricia Figueroa, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 25 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 26 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 27 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 28 schools receive.

1 178. With respect to the Robert Louis Stevenson Middle 2 School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles 3 Unified School District, plaintiffs allege: 4 5 Robert Louis Stevenson Middle School is 191. 6 infested with mice and roaches. Teachers and 7 students see mice, mice droppings, and roaches in 8 their classrooms during class times, and they 9 estimate that the school has at least 100 mice on 10 campus. 11 12 192. Students cannot take books home for 13 homework in many classes at Stevenson, including science classes. In other classes, the books 14 15 students use at home for homework are between 16 eight and 20 years old and also are not the same 17 editions of books used in class. Students find 18 it difficult to use these old and outdated texts 19 for homework because the texts do not parallel 20 the material students used in class. 21 22 193. The school does not fill teacher vacancies 23 in a timely manner. During the 1999-2000 school 24 year, the school had two teacher vacancies that 25 remained unfilled for half to three-quarters of 26 the school year. Students in the classes without 27 permanent teachers took instruction from a series 28 of substitute teachers. 94

1 194. If a teacher is absent or sick, the school 2 often does not hire a substitute teacher to 3 replace the absent teacher. 4 5 195. Only five or six classrooms at Stevenson 6 have Internet access, so most students at the 7 school have no Internet access at school. 8 9 196. Most of the school bathrooms are locked, so 10 students cannot use them. Even when all the 11 bathrooms are open and available for student use, 12 the school does not have enough bathrooms for all 13 the students at the school. The school bathrooms 14 rarely have soap or paper towels in them. 15 16 197. Some classrooms are missing as many as 25 17 ceiling tiles, and the ceiling tiles continue to 18 fall during the school year. The ceilings are 19 dangerous for students underneath them, and the 20 school does not appear to be in process of 21 repairing the tiles. 22 23 179. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 24 allege exist at Robert Louis Stevenson Middle School in Los 25 Angeles deprive students attending that middle school, of the 26 opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article 27 IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also 28

1 deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal 2 to those that children in other schools receive. 3 180. With respect to the Susan Miller Dorsey Senior 4 High School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School District, plaintiffs allege: 5 6 7 210. Plaintiff Maria Valle attends school at 8 Susan Miller Dorsey High School in Los Angeles. 9 Students in some classes at Dorsey have to stand 10 or sit on counters for entire semesters because 11 the school does not have enough seats for all the 12 students in their classes. 13 14 211. Students routinely see rats in their 15 classes. Teachers set rat traps in some classes, 16 and janitors take dead rats away from the traps 17 as frequently as every other day when the 18 teachers set these traps. 19 20 212. Some classes at Dorsey do not have 21 permanent teachers when the school year begins. 22 These students take instruction from a series of 23 substitute teachers for weeks until the school 24 hires a permanent teacher or a long-term 25 substitute teacher to teach the class. The 26 students cannot learn with the series of 27 substitutes because the lack of continuity 28 prevents the substitute teachers from creating 96 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

lesson plans and teaching material that develops on past lessons.

1

2

3

213. 4 The school does not have enough books for 5 all the students. Students in some math classes 6 do not have any books at all. These students 7 must copy down problems and notes from the board, 8 often without accompanying written instructions 9 and examples. Students in some English classes 10 do not have full class sets of books, so students 11 read different books at different times. 12 Students in these classes cannot engage in class 13 discussions and lessons about the books they read 14 because the students read different books. 15 16 214. The school does not have enough open and 17 unlocked bathrooms for all the students. Those 18 bathrooms that are open for student use are 19 filthy and lack toilet paper, soap, and paper 20 towels. Many of the stalls lack doors. 21 22 215. The windows in some classrooms do not shut 23 and the classrooms do not have heat, so in the 24 winter the classrooms become extremely cold. 25 Students must wear coats, hats, and gloves in 26 class to keep warm because the temperature falls 27 so low during class time. The students find it 28

difficult to learn because of the extreme chill.

97

1 216. Approximately half the classrooms at Dorsey 2 do not have air conditioning and temperatures in 3 these classrooms become extremely hot during the 4 spring and early summer. Students sweat in class 5 and are unable to concentrate on their lessons 6 because of the heat. 7 8 Glass in two or three of the windows in the 217. 9 school gym have been broken and not repaired for 10 at least two full years. 11 12 181. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 13 allege exist at Susan Miller Dorsey Senior High School in Los 14 Angeles deprive students attending that high school, including 15 plaintiff Maria Valle, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 16 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 17 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 18 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 19 schools receive. 20 182. With respect to the Thomas Jefferson Senior High 21 School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles 22 Unified School District, plaintiffs allege: 23 24 227. Plaintiffs Sonia Felix, Abraham Osuna, Lisa 25 Lopez, Lluliana Alonso, Altagracia Garcia, Maria 26 Perez, and Fabiola Tostado attend Thomas 27 Jefferson Senior High School in Los Angeles. At 28 Jefferson, students do not have desks at which to 98 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

sit in some classes. Instead, students sit on counters or stand in the back of the room, where they have difficulty seeing their teachers and the blackboard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

228. Some classes at the school have no teacher at all. In one class, the teacher called in sick for five or six consecutive weeks during the 1999-2000 school year, and students in the class wandered around the school during that period because they had no formal class while the teacher was absent. Many students take classes taught by a series of substitutes rather than by a permanent teacher hired for the class.

229. The school does not offer enough courses for all the students, so many students spend one or two periods each day in "service" classes because neither academic classes nor study halls are available to the students. During "service" class periods, students try to find classrooms where teachers will allow "service" class students to sit quietly in the back of the room and do nothing, or students go to the main office and ask to run errands.

230. Students have no books to take home for homework in most of their classes, and in many of

1 their classes, students either lack books 2 altogether or have to share books with other 3 students. In one math class, students waited a 4 whole semester before they had books to use in 5 In one graphic arts class, three or four class. 6 students share one book in class. Students in 7 five different classes must share one set of 8 approximately 30 Spanish textbooks. 9 10 231. The copy machine at school is often broken, 11 so teachers have to shift lesson plans or forgo 12 assigning homework because teachers cannot have 13 text material copied for the students. 14 15 232. Even if the copy machine were not broken, 16 teachers are allowed to make only a limited 17 number of copies, so the teachers cannot copy 18 enough pages to assign homework every night to 19 all their students. 20 21 Students at the school must pay for their 233. 22 own educational materials. In one advanced-23 placement English class, students had to buy 24 their own test primer. In an advertising design 25 class, students paid for notebooks and special 26 paint they were required to have in class. 27 28 100

The school divides students into three 234 separate tracks, with two tracks at school at any given time. Jefferson students receive approximately 20 fewer days of instruction each year than do students who do not attend multitrack schools. Students cover less material in their classes than they would cover if they attended school for more days because teachers cannot make up the missed days with extra homework. And the multitrack scheduling means that no school time exists during which no students attend school, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding children's education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 235. Course offerings at the school are so 18 limited that students either are foreclosed from 19 taking certain courses or must choose between 20 academic rigor and extracurricular involvement. 21 Some students who took French during their ninth 22 grade year were not able to continue with French 23 instruction during the following year because 24 Jefferson did not offer second-year French. In 25 addition, students who take advanced-placement 26 courses cannot become involved in extracurricular 27 school activities, such as student government or 28 athletics. Some advanced-placement courses are

101

offered only during the end of the school day, at the same time that extracurricular activities are offered.

236. Jefferson does not have enough bathrooms for all the students at the school. It has approximately four bathrooms for girls and three bathrooms for boys, but only two girls' and two boys' bathrooms are regularly unlocked and open. Often bathrooms lack toilet paper, soap, and paper towels. In the girls' bathrooms, many of the stall doors are broken, so students have to hold doors closed for each other to ensure privacy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

237. The school only has one college counselor to serve the entire school of approximately 3500 students. Students do not have sufficient access to the counselor's time to plan their future education and goals.

183. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
allege exist at Thomas Jefferson High School in Los Angeles
deprive students attending that high school, including plaintiffs
Sonia Felix, Abraham Osuna, Lisa Lopez, Lluliana Alonso,
Altagracia Garcia, Maria Perez, and Fabiola Tostado, of the
opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article
IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also

1	deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal
2	to those that children in other schools receive.
3	184. With respect to the Virgil Middle School in Los
4	Angeles, which is operated by the Los Angeles Unified School
5	District, plaintiffs allege:
6	
7	198. Virgil Middle School has approximately 23
8	unfilled teacher vacancies for the 2000-2001
9	school year, which is currently in session.
10	Thirteen of the teacher vacancies are on C track,
11	which began school for the 2000-2001 school year
12	on July 5, 2000. Ten of the teacher vacancies
13	are on A track, which begins its school year in
14	August 2000. Students in classes without
15	permanent teachers are taught by a series of
16	substitute teachers.
17	
18	199. Students use outdated and dilapidated
19	textbooks even though the school has new
20	textbooks on campus now. The textbook room is so
21	crowded that teachers cannot access the new books
22	in the room, which forces teachers to assign the
23	outdated texts they can access to their classes.
24	For example, instead of using new textbooks,
25	social studies teachers continue to use social
26	studies texts that were published in 1979.
27	
28	
	103

-,

1 200. The school is filled to capacity, so more 2 than 1300 neighborhood children are bussed to 3 other schools outside of the neighborhood because 4 Virgil cannot accommodate them. 5 6 201. Virgil divides its students into three 7 separate tracks, with two tracks at school at any 8 given time. Students receive approximately 20 9 fewer days of instruction each year than do 10 students who do not attend multitrack schools. 11 Students cover less material in their classes 12 than they would cover if they attended school for 13 more days because teachers cannot make up the 14 missed days with extra homework. In addition, 15 the multitrack scheduling means that no school 16 time exists during which no students attend 17 school, so it is difficult and sometimes 18 impossible for the school to perform maintenance 19 and repair without impeding children's education. 20 21 185. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 22 allege exist at Virgil Middle School in Los Angeles deprive 23 students attending that middle school of the opportunity to 24 obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 25 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those 26 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that 27 children in other schools receive. 28

186. The Los Angeles Unified School District is the 1 2 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 3 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 4 5 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. In carrying out its duties, the Los Angeles Unified School 6 7 District is required to comply with applicable laws and regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 8 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 9 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 10 11 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Los Angeles 12 13 Unified School District complies with its duties and obligations 14 in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be required in 15 certain circumstances to act where the Los Angeles Unified School 16 District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose 17 just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a 18 direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that 19 the Los Angeles Unified School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and 20 21 the Constitution.

187. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Belmont Senior High School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Hilda Oliva, of a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has

violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and
regulations, including without limitation California Code of
Education sections 17366, 17565, 17593, 37610, 37670, 38118,
60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of
Regulations section 630, and its duties and obligations under the
laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code
§\$ 35290, 35293.

8 188. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 9 Berendo Middle School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, and if 10 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 11 students at said school, including plaintiffs Jose Negrete, Jose 12 Valencia, and Hanover Mares, of a basic education, or of basic 13 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 14 other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 15 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 16 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 17 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 18 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 19 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

20 189. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 21 Bret Harte Preparatory Intermediate School in Los Angeles as they 22 have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions 23 result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff 24 Maria Muñiz, of a basic education, or of basic educational 25 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 26 schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 27 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 28 regulations, including without limitation California Code of

Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 37610, 37670,
 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of
 Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and obligations
 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal.
 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

6 190. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 7 Cahuenga Elementary School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, 8 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 9 depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Oscar 10 Ruiz, Josue Herrera, Abraham Perez, Carlos Perez, Juan Salquero, 11 Graciela Solano, Rafael Solano, and Samuel Tellechea, of a basic 12 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 13 received by children in other schools, then the Los Angeles 14 Unified School District has violated its duties and obligations 15 under applicable statutes and regulations, including without 16 limitation California Code of Education sections 17576, 37610, 17 37670, 38118, 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California 18 Code of Regulations section 631, and its duties and obligations 19 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 20 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

21 191. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 22 Crenshaw Senior High School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, 23 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 24 depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Delwin 25 Lampkin and D'Andre Lampkin, of a basic education, or of basic 26 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 27 other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 28 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and regulations, including without limitation California Code of
 Education sections 17366, 17565, 17593, 60119, and 60411, and
 Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 630, and
 its duties and obligations under the laws and under the
 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

6 192. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 7 Daniel Webster Middle School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 8 9 depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Flor 10 Osorio, of a basic education, or of basic educational 11 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 12 schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 13 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 14 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 15 Education sections 17576, 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the 16 California Code of Regulations section 631, and its duties and 17 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 18 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

19 193. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 20 George Washington Carver Middle School in Los Angeles as they 21 have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions 22 result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs 23 Daniel Pastor and Francisco Tenorio, of a basic education, or of 24 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 25 children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School 26 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 27 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 28 Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17593, 60119, and 60411,

and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 630,
 and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the
 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

194. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 4 5 Gulf Avenue Elementary School in Wilmington as they have alleged, 6 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 7 depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Erika 8 Hernandez, Ruth Mata, and Sonya Mata, of a basic education, or of 9 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 10 children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School 11 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 12 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 13 Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 37610, 14 37670, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the 15 California Code of Regulations section 630, and its duties and 16 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 17 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

18 195. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Huntington Park Senior High School in Los Angeles as they have 19 20 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 21 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs 22 Lizette Ruiz and Geyman Hernandez, of a basic education, or of 23 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 24 children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School 25 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 26 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 27 Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17593, 37610, and 37670, 28 and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 630,

and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the
 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

3 196. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 4 John C. Fremont Senior High School in Los Angeles as they have 5 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 6 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Cindy 7 Diego and Glauz Diego, of a basic education, or of basic 8 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 9 other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 10 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 11 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 12 Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 37610, 37670, 13 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the California 14 Code of Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and 15 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 16 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

17 197. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 18 Marina del Rey Middle School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, 19 and if they are also correct that such conditions result in 20 depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Patricia 21 Figueroa, of a basic education, or of basic educational 22 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 23 schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 24 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 25 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 26 Education sections 17576, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and 27 Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 631, and 28 its duties and obligations under the laws and under the

Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

2 198. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 3 Robert Louis Stevenson Middle School in Los Angeles as they have 4 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 5 in depriving students at said school of a basic education, or of 6 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 7 children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School 8 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 9 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 10 Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, and Title 5 of the California Code of 11 Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and obligations 12 13 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 14 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

15 199. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 16 Susan Miller Dorsey Senior High School in Los Angeles as they 17 have alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions 18 result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff 19 Maria Valle, of a basic education, or of basic educational 20 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 21 schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 22 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 23 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 24 Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 60119, and 60411, 25 and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations sections 630 26 and 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and under 27 the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

28

200. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 1 2 Thomas Jefferson Senior High School in Los Angeles as they have 3 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 4 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Sonia 5 Felix, Abraham Osuna, Lisa Lopez, Lluliana Alonso, Altagracia 6 Garcia, Maria Perez, and Fabiola Tostado, of a basic education, 7 or of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 8 children in other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School 9 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 10 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 11 Code of Education sections 17576, 37610, 37670, 38118, 60119, and 12 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations 13 section 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and 14 under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 15 35293.

16 201. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 17 Virgil Middle School in Los Angeles as they have alleged, and if 18 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 19 students at said school of a basic education, or of basic 20 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 21 other schools, then the Los Angeles Unified School District has 22 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 23 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 24 Education sections 37610, 37670, 60045, and 60500, and its duties 25 and obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 26 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

27

202. The Los Angeles Unified School District has the
 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
 plaintiffs complain.

203. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 4 5 conditions exist in Belmont Senior High School, Berendo Middle 6 School, Bret Harte Preparatory Intermediate School, Cahuenga 7 Elementary School, Crenshaw Senior High School, Daniel Webster 8 Middle School, George Washington Carver Middle School, Gulf 9 Avenue Elementary School, Huntington Park Senior High School, 10 John C. Fremont Senior High School, Marina Del Rey Middle School, Robert Louis Stevenson Middle School, Susan Miller Dorsey Senior 11 12 High School, Thomas Jefferson Senior High School, or Virgil 13 Middle School such as they have alleged, which conditions result 14 in depriving students at those schools, including plaintiffs 15 Hilda Oliva, Jose Negrete, Jose Valencia, Hanover Mares, Maria 16 Muñiz, Oscar Ruiz, Josue Herrera, Abraham Perez, Carlos Perez, 17 Juan Salquero, Graciela Solano, Rafael Solano, Samuel Tellechea, 18 Delwin Lampkin, D'Andre Lampkin, Flor Osorio, Daniel Pastor, 19 Francisco Tenorio, Erika Hernandez, Ruth Mata, Sonya Mata, 20 Lizette Ruiz, Geyman Hernandez, Cindy Diego, Glauz Diego, 21 Patricia Figueroa, Marie Valle, Sonia Felix, Abraham Osura, Lisa 22 Lopez, Lluliana Alonso, Altagracia Garcia, Maria Perez, and/or 23 Fabiola Tostado, of a basic education, or of basic educational 24 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 25 schools, the Los Angeles Unified School District has a mandatory 26 and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. Unless 27 restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the Los Angeles

1	Unified School District will not correct such conditions, but
2	will fail and refuse to do so.
3	204. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at
4	law.
5	
6	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
7	SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION
8	(Against Cross-Defendant Montebello Unified School District)
9	205. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 16, and 20 are
10	realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully
11	set forth.
12	206. With respect to the Joseph A. Gascon Elementary
13	School in Los Angeles, which is operated by the Montebello
14	Unified School District, plaintiffs allege:
15	
16	248. Plaintiff Lissa Palacios attends Joseph A.
17	Gascon Elementary School in Los Angeles. At
18	Gascon, students do not have enough books to use
19	in class or to take home. The school has so few
20	textbooks that students have to share books in
21	class in some subjects, and students cannot take
22	books home for homework in most subjects. Some
23	classes do not have any books at all for some
24	subjects, such as social studies and science.
25	
26	249. The school does not have enough bathrooms
27	for all the children at the school. Students
28	have to wait in long lines to get into the
	114 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

bathrooms during recess, and when they can access the bathrooms the bathrooms are filthy. The toilets frequently do not flush and water and waste overflow onto the bathroom floors. The bathrooms frequently lack toilet paper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

207. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 7 allege exist at Joseph A. Gascon Elementary School in Los Angeles 8 9 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 10 plaintiff Lissa Palacios, of the opportunity to obtain a basic 11 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 12 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 13 14 schools receive.

208. The Montebello Unified School District is the 15 16 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a 17 public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 18 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 19 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 20 In carrying out its duties, the Montebello Unified School 21 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 22 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies 23 and departments of the State of California, and is required to 24 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 25 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 26 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Montebello 27 Unified School District complies with its duties and obligations 28 in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be required in

115

certain circumstances to act where the Montebello Unified School District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that the Montebello Unified School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution.

8 209. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 9 Joseph A. Gascon Elementary School in Los Angeles as they have 10 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 11 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Lissa 12 Palacios, of a basic education, or of basic educational 13 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 14 schools, then the Montebello Unified School District has violated 15 its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 16 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 17 Education sections 17576, 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the 18 California Code of Regulations section 631, and its duties and 19 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 20 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

21 210. The Montebello Unified School District has the 22 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which 23 plaintiffs complain.

24 211. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that
25 conditions exist in Joseph A. Gascon Elementary School in Los
26 Angeles such as they have alleged, which conditions result in
27 depriving students at that school, including plaintiff Lissa
28 Palacios, of a basic education, or of basic educational

1 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 2 schools, the Montebello Unified School District has a mandatory 3 and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. Unless 4 restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the Montebello 5 Unified School District will not correct such conditions, but 6 will fail and refuse to do so. 7 212. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 8 law. 9 10 SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 11 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 12 (Against Lynwood Unified School District) 13 213. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 17, and 20 are 14 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 15 set forth. 16 214. With respect to the Lynwood Middle School in 17 Lynwood, which is operated by the Lynwood Unified School 18 District, plaintiffs allege: 19 20 250. Plaintiffs Monique Treviño and Marlene 21 Funes attend Lynwood Middle School in Lynwood. 22 At Lynwood, students in most of the classes 23 cannot take textbooks home for homework. And the 24 books the school has for in-class use are old, 25 have graffiti on them, and are missing many 26 Students complain that when their pages. 27 teachers tell them to turn to particular pages in 28 117

1	the books, the students cannot turn to the pages
2	because the pages are missing from the books.
3	
4	251. The school assigns teachers only one ream
5	of paper each week. Teachers therefore must
6	select which days to give homework because they
7	do not have enough paper to photocopy pages from
8	the textbooks for students to take home for
9	homework every night.
10	
11	252. The school does not have enough bathrooms
12	for the students' use. Two of the three
13	bathrooms at the school are locked every day all
14	day, so students cannot use them. In the only
15	girls' bathroom available for student use, one of
16	the six toilets has been broken all year.
17	
18	215. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
19	allege exist at Lynwood Middle School in Lynwood deprive students
20	attending that middle school, including plaintiffs Monique
21	Treviño and Marlene Funes, of the opportunity to obtain a basic
22	education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the
23	California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic
24	educational opportunities equal to those that children in other
25	schools receive.
26	
27	
28	
	118
	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

~

	· ·
1	216. With respect to the Hosler Middle School in
2	Lynwood, which is operated by the Lynwood Unified School
3	District, plaintiffs allege:
4	
5	254. Many classes have more students than desks
6	for more than a month of the school year, so
7	students stand or sit on the floor during class.
8	
9	255. The school often fails to hire a substitute
10	teacher when a teacher is absent. When students
11	have neither a permanent teacher nor a substitute
12	teacher, they often wander around campus without
13	supervision. When students do not wander around
14	campus, the school splits the students into
15	groups of approximately five students and places
16	them into other classes, which often are not
17	same-subject-matter classes, during the periods
18	when they do not have teachers.
19	
20	256. The school has not had a library for the
21	past two years because the school uses the
22	library to share textbooks. Without a library,
23	students have no access to research materials at
24	school. History teachers assign students fewer,
25	and sometimes no, research assignments because
26	the school does not provide students a library
27	from which to perform research tasks.
28	
	119
1	CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

į

-.

1 217. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 2 allege exist at Hosler Middle School in Lynwood deprive students 3 attending that middle school of the opportunity to obtain a basic 4 education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 5 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 6 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other 7 schools receive.

8 218. The Lynwood Unified School District is the agent 9 of the State of California for purposes of providing a public 10 school education to children within its jurisdiction, to the 11 extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 12 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. In carrying out its duties, the Lynwood Unified School District 13 14 is required to comply with applicable laws and regulations 15 promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and 16 departments of the State of California, and is required to comply 17 with the Constitution of California and the Constitution of the 18 United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California 19 has a direct interest in ensuring that the Lynwood Unified School 20 District complies with its duties and obligations in this regard 21 since, under Butt, the State may be required in certain 22 circumstances to act where the Lynwood Unified School District 23 has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an 24 obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct 25 financial and governmental interest in making sure that the 26 Lynwood Unified School District has properly carried out the 27 duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution. 28

1 219. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 2 Lynwood Middle School in Lynwood as they have alleged, and if 3 they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs Monique Treviño and 4 5 Marlene Funes, of a basic education, or of basic educational 6 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 7 schools, then the Lynwood Unified School District has violated 8 its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 9 regulations, including without limitation California Code of Education sections 17576, 38118, 60045, 60119, 60411, and 60500, 10 11 and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 631, 12 and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the 13 Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

14 220. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 15 Hosler Middle School in Lynwood as they have alleged, and if they 16 are also correct that such conditions result in depriving 17 students at said school of a basic education, or of basic 18 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 19 other schools, then the Lynwood Unified School District has 20 violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 21 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 22 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 23 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 24 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

25 221. The Lynwood Unified School District has the power
and ability to correct each of the conditions of which plaintiffs
27 complain.

121

222. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 1 2 conditions exist in Lynwood Middle School or Hosler Middle School in Lynwood such as they have alleged, which conditions result in 3 4 depriving students at those schools, including plaintiffs Monique 5 Treviño and Marlene Funes, of a basic education, or of basic 6 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in 7 other schools, the Lynwood Unified School District has a 8 mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the 9 Lynwood Unified School District will not correct such conditions, 10 but will fail and refuse to do so. 11 12 223. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 13 law. 14 15 SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 16 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 17 (Against Cross-Defendant Inglewood Unified School District) 18 224. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 18, and 20 are 19 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 20 set forth. 21 225. With respect to the Daniel Freeman Elementary 22 School in Inglewood, which is operated by the Inglewood Unified 23 School District, plaintiffs allege: 24 25 Plaintiff Remington Castille attends Daniel 257. 26 Freeman Elementary School in Inglewood. At 27 Daniel Freeman, students in many classes do not 28 have textbooks to take home or to use in class. 122 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

Students in one math class did not receive 1 textbooks during the 1999-2000 school year. 2 3 4 5 226. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 6 allege exist at Daniel Freeman Elementary School in Inglewood 7 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 8 plaintiff Remington Castille, of the opportunity to obtain a 9 basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of 10 the California Constitution, and also deprive those students of 11 basic educational opportunities equal to those that children in 12 other schools receive. 13 227. With respect to the Frank D. Parent Elementary 14 School in Inglewood, which is operated by the Inglewood Unified 15 School District, plaintiffs allege: 16 17 259. Plaintiffs Sharifa McCauley, Justin Jones, 18 and Taylor Jones attend school at Frank D. Parent 19 Elementary School in Inglewood. At Parent, 20 students cannot bring books home for homework in 21 many classes. These students take home 22 incomplete photocopied papers instead; the papers 23 often lack instructions and background material. 24 Without books or background material, students 25 often cannot understand their homework 26 assignments and parents often cannot help 27 students with their homework. Seventh- and 28 eight-grade students do not have science 123

1 textbooks to use in class or to take home. Many 2 texts in use at the school are long out of date. 3 For example, a literature book in use at the 4 school was published in 1969. 5 6 261. School toilets often lack toilet paper and 7 students have urinated or defecated on themselves 8 because they could not access bathrooms with 9 toilet paper. Parents have spent hundreds of 10 dollars of their own money to purchase toilet 11 paper for the bathrooms in efforts to rectify the 12 lack of essential supplies for their children. 13 14 228. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 15 allege exist at Frank D. Parent Elementary School in Inglewood 16 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 17 plaintiffs Sharifa McCauley, Justin Jones, and Taylor Jones, of 18 the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of 19 Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and 20 also deprive those students of basic educational opportunities 21 equal to those that children in other schools receive. 22 229. With respect to the George W. Crozier Junior High 23 School in Inglewood, which is operated by the Inglewood Unified 24 School District, plaintiffs allege: 25 26 263. George W. Crozier Junior High School does 27 not have enough textbooks for all the students to 28 take home for homework, and some students must 124 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

share books in class, including English and 1 2 science classes, because the school does not have 3 even enough books for students' in-class use. 4 5 264. The Crozier bathrooms are filthy and lack 6 basic supplies such as toilet paper, paper 7 towels, and seat covers. The bathroom floors are 8 often wet and slippery and light bulbs are often 9 missing. 10 11 265. The Crozier buildings are in such severe 12 disrepair that some classroom doors do not have 13 knobs and wires hang from the ceilings in some 14 Students have to reach into a hole classrooms. 15 where the knob should be to open the doors that 16 do not have knobs. In other classrooms, teachers 17 have to place heavy objects in front of the doors 18 to hold them open or closed. 19 20 266. The school operates on a year-round, 21 multitrack schedule, which means that students 22 receive approximately 20 fewer days of 23 instruction each year than do students who do not 24 attend multitrack schools. Students cover less 25 material in their classes than they would cover 26 if they attended school for more days because 27 teachers cannot make up the missed days with 28 extra homework. In addition, the multitrack 125

scheduling means that no school time exists during which no students attend school, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding children's education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

230. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they
allege exist at George W. Crozier Junior High School in Inglewood
deprive students attending that junior high school of the
opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article
IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also
deprive those students of basic educational opportunities equal
to those that children in other schools receive.

14 231. The Inglewood Unified School District is the agent 15 of the State of California for purposes of providing a public 16 school education to children within its jurisdiction, to the 17 extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 18 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 19 In carrying out its duties, the Inglewood Unified School District 20 is required to comply with applicable laws and regulations 21 promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and 22 departments of the State of California, and is required to comply 23 with the Constitution of California and the Constitution of the 24 United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of California 25 has a direct interest in ensuring that the Inglewood Unified 26 School District complies with its duties and obligations in this 27 regard since, under Butt, the State may be required in certain 28 circumstances to act where the Inglewood Unified School District

has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that the Inglewood Unified School District has properly carried out the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and the Constitution.

7 232. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 8 Daniel Freeman Elementary School in Inglewood as they have 9 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 10 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff 11 Remington Castille, of a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other 12 13 schools, then the Inglewood Unified School District has violated 14 its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and 15 regulations, including without limitation California Code of 16 Education sections 60119 and 60411, and its duties and 17 obligations under the laws and under the Constitution of 18 California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

19 233. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in Frank D. Parent Elementary School in Inglewood as they have 20 21 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 22 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiffs 23 Sharifa McCauley, Justin Jones, and Taylor Jones, of a basic 24 education, or of basic educational opportunities equal to those 25 received by children in other schools, then the Inglewood Unified 26 School District has violated its duties and obligations under 27 applicable statutes and regulations, including without limitation 28 California Code of Education sections 17576, 60119, 60411, 60045,

and 60500, and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations
 section 631, and its duties and obligations under the laws and
 under the Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290,
 35293.

5 234. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 6 George W. Crozier Junior High School in Inglewood as they have 7 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 8 in depriving students at said school of a basic education, or of 9 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 10 children in other schools, then the Inglewood Unified School 11 District has violated its duties and obligations under applicable 12 statutes and regulations, including without limitation California 13 Code of Education sections 17366, 17565, 17576, 17593, 37610, 14 37670, 60119, and 60411, and Title 5 of the California Code of 15 Regulations sections 630 and 631, and its duties and obligations 16 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 17 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

18 235. The Inglewood Unified School District has the
19 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
20 plaintiffs complain.

21 236. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 22 conditions exist in Daniel Freeman Elementary School or Frank D. 23 Parent Elementary School or George W. Crozier Junior High School 24 in Inglewood such as they have alleged, which conditions result 25 in depriving students at those schools, including plaintiffs 26 Remington Castille, Sharifa McCauley, Justin Jones, and/or Taylor 27 Jones, of a basic education, or of basic educational 28 opportunities equal to those received by children in other

1 schools, the Inglewood Unified School District has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such conditions. 2 Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, the Inglewood 3 Unified School District will not correct such conditions, but 4 5 will fail and refuse to do so. 237. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 6 7 law. 8 9 EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 10 SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION 11 (Against Cross-Defendant Long Beach Unified School District 12 238. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 19, and 20 are 13 realleged and incorporated herein by reference, as though fully 14 set forth. 15 239. With respect to the Jackie Robinson Elementary 16 School in Long Beach, which is operated by the Long Beach Unified 17 School District, plaintiffs allege: 18 19 Plaintiff Ronisha Good attends school at 267. 20 Jackie Robinson Elementary School in Long Beach . 21 22 23 The school operates on a year-round, 269. 24 multitrack schedule, dividing students into four 25 separate tracks with two tracks at school at any 26 given time. The multitracks scheduling means 27 that no school time exists during which no 28 students attend school, so it is difficult and 129 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

sometimes impossible for the school to perform 1 2 maintenance and repair without impeding 3 children's education. 4 Many neighborhood children are bussed to 5 270. 6 other schools farther from their homes because 7 Jackie Robinson cannot accommodate all the 8 neighborhood children at the school. 9 10 240. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they allege exist at Jackie Robinson Elementary School in Long Beach 11 12 deprive students attending that elementary school, including 13 plaintiff Ronisha Good, of the opportunity to obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 and 5 of the 14 California Constitution, and also deprive those students of basic 15 16 educational opportunities equal to those that children in other schools receive. 17 18 241. With respect to the Lincoln Elementary School in 19 Long Beach, which is operated by the Long Beach Unified School 20 District, plaintiffs allege: 21 22 Teachers spend thousands of dollars of 273. 23 their own money each year purchasing such basic 24 supplies as dry erase markers, craft supplies, 25 and books for classroom libraries because the 26 school does not provide sufficient quantities of 27 these essentials. 28 130

274. The school operates on a year-round, multitrack schedule, dividing students into four separate tracks. The multitrack scheduling means that no school time exists during which the school is vacant, so it is difficult and sometimes impossible for the school to perform maintenance and repair without impeding childrens' education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 242. Plaintiffs allege that the conditions which they 11 allege exist at Lincoln Elementary School in Long Beach deprive 12 students attending that elementary school of the opportunity to 13 obtain a basic education in violation of Article IX, Sections 1 14 and 5 of the California Constitution, and also deprive those 15 students of basic educational opportunities equal to those that 16 children in other schools receive.

243. The Long Beach Unified School District is the 17 18 agent of the State of California for purposes of providing a public school education to children within its jurisdiction, to 19 the extent defined by the Supreme Court of California in Butt v. 20 State of California, 4 Cal. 4th 668 (1992), and similar cases. 21 22 In carrying out its duties, the Long Beach Unified School 23 District is required to comply with applicable laws and 24 regulations promulgated by the Legislature and various agencies and departments of the State of California, and is required to 25 26 comply with the Constitution of California and the Constitution 27 of the United States. Cal. Educ. Code § 35290. The State of 28 California has a direct interest in ensuring that the Long Beach

131

Unified School District complies with its duties and obligations 1 2 in this regard since, under Butt, the State may be required in 3 certain circumstances to act where the Long Beach Unified School 4 District has failed. In this case, plaintiffs seek to impose 5 just such an obligation on the State; the State accordingly has a 6 direct financial and governmental interest in making sure that 7 the Long Beach Unified School District has properly carried out 8 the duties and obligations imposed upon it by the Legislature and 9 the Constitution.

10 244. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in 11 Jackie Robinson Elementary School in Long Beach as they have 12 alleged, and if they are also correct that such conditions result 13 in depriving students at said school, including plaintiff Ronisha 14 Good, of a basic education, or of basic educational opportunities 15 equal to those received by children in other schools, then the 16 Long Beach Unified School District has violated its duties and 17 obligations under applicable statutes and regulations, including without limitation California Code of Education sections 17366, 18 19 17565, 17593, 37610, and 37670, and Title 5 of the California 20 Code of Regulations section 630, and its duties and obligations 21 under the laws and under the Constitution of California. Cal. 22 Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

23 245. If plaintiffs are correct that conditions exist in
24 Lincoln Elementary School in Long Beach as they have alleged, and
25 if they are also correct that such conditions result in depriving
26 students at said school of a basic education, or of basic
27 educational opportunities equal to those received by children in
28 other schools, then the Long Beach Unified School District has

violated its duties and obligations under applicable statutes and
regulations, including without limitation California Code of
Education sections 17366, 17565, 17593, 37610, 37670, and 38118,
and Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations section 630,
and its duties and obligations under the laws and under the
Constitution of California. Cal. Educ. Code §§ 35290, 35293.

7 246. The Long Beach Unified School District has the
8 power and ability to correct each of the conditions of which
9 plaintiffs complain.

10 247. To the extent plaintiffs are correct that 11 conditions exist in Jackie Robinson Elementary School or Lincoln 12 Elementary School in Long Beach such as they have alleged, which 13 conditions result in depriving students at those schools, 14 including plaintiff Ronisha Good, of a basic education, or of 15 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 16 children in other schools, the Long Beach Unified School District 17 has a mandatory and non-discretionary duty to correct such 18 conditions. Unless restrained and enjoined by order of this 19 Court, the Long Beach Unified School District will not correct 20 such conditions, but will fail and refuse to do so. 21 248. Cross-complainant is without an adequate remedy at 22 law.

133

23

24

25

26

27

· .	
1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	WHEREFORE, cross-complainant prays:
3	1. On Its First Cause of Action:
4	a. That the San Francisco Unified School
5	District (and as well its respective officers, agents,
6	servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and
7	enjoined both during the pendency of this action and
8	permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein
9	complained of, and that the San Francisco Unified School
10	District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply
11	with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing
12	to provide students at Balboa High School, Bryant Elementary
13	School, and Luther Burbank Middle School in San Francisco,
14	including plaintiffs Alondra Sharae Jones, Lawrence Poon,
15	Bianca Arriola, Bibiana Arriola, Carlos Ramirez, Richard
16	Ramirez, Ivanna Romero, Eliezer Williams, Olivia Saunders,
17	Silas Moultrie, and Monique Mabutas, with a basic education
18	and with basic educational opportunities equal to those
19	received by children in other schools.
20	b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
21	further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
22	equitable.
- 23	c. That cross-complainant recover from the San
24	Francisco Unified School District its costs herein incurred.
25	2. On Its Second Cause of Action:
26	a. That the West Contra Costa Unified School
27	District (and as well its respective officers, agents,
28	servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and
	134 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF AND INJUNCTION

enjoined both during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, and that the West Contra Costa Unified School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at John F. Kennedy High School and Wendell Helms Middle School, including plaintiffs Laurel and Romana Clemons, Moises Canel, Magaly de Loza, Yeimi Alba, Arturo Escutia, and Edgardo Solano, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the West
 Contra Costa Unified School District its costs herein
 incurred.

3. On Its Third Cause of Action:

19 That the Oakland Unified School District (and a. 20 as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 21 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 22 during the pendency of this action and permanently 23 thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, 24 and that the Oakland Unified School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes 25 26 and regulations, and from failing to provide students at 27 Burbank Elementary School, Fremont High School, Garfield 28 Elementary School, Stonehurst Elementary School, Webster

135

Academy, and Whittier Elementary School in Oakland, including plaintiffs Marcelies Grascie, Kim Parks, Cesar Chavez, Christina Chavez, Justin Sessions, Joshua Sessions, Vincent Pulido, and Kiandra Pulido, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Oakland Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

4. On Its Fourth Cause of Action:

13 That the Ravenswood City Elementary School a. 14 District (and as well its respective officers, agents, 15 servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and 16 enjoined both during the pendency of this action and 17 permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein 18 complained of, and that the Ravenswood City Elementary 19 School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from 20 21 failing to provide students at Cesar Chavez and Edison-22 McNair Academies, including plaintiffs Jose Gomez, Kristal 23 Monje Ruiz, Myra Monje Ruiz, Sandra Hernandez, Nadia 24 Angelica Hernandez, Candelaria Santos, and Carlos Santos, 25 with a basic education and with basic educational 26 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 27 schools.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Ravenswood City Elementary School District its costs herein incurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

26

27

28

5. On Its Fifth Cause of Action:

8 That the Campbell Union Elementary School a. 9 District (and as well its respective officers, agents, 10 servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and 11 enjoined both during the pendency of this action and 12 permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein 13 complained of, and that the Cambell Union Elementary School 14 District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply 15 with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing 16 to provide students at Castlemont Elementary School, 17 including plaintiffs Allison Schauer and Rachel Schauer, 18 with a basic education and with basic educational 19 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 20 schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Cambell Union Elementary School District its costs herein incurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

6. On Its Sixth Cause of Action:

a. That the Cloverdale Unified School District (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, and that the Cloverdale Unified School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Cloverdale High School, including plaintiffs Drew Smith, Gino Buchignani, Jason Kehrli, and Jonathan Cambra, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the
 Cloverdale Unified School District its costs herein
 incurred.

7. On Its Seventh Cause of Action:

a. That the Pioneer Union Elementary School
District (and as well its respective officers, agents,
servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and
enjoined both during the pendency of this action and
permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein
complained of, and that the Pioneer Union Elementary School
District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply

138

with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Berry Creek Elementary School, including plaintiffs Christopher Barnard and Jacolyn Barnard, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Pioneer Union Elementary School District its costs herein incurred.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

8. On Its Eighth Cause of Action:

14 a. That the Pajaro Valley Unified School 15 District (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and 16 enjoined both during the pendency of this action and 17 18 permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein 19 complained of, and that the Pajaro Valley Unified District 20 be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to 21 22 provide students at Watsonville High School, including plaintiff Manuel V. Ortiz, with a basic education and with 23 24 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 25 children in other schools.

26 b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
27 further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
28 equitable.

1 c. That cross-complainant recover from the 2 Pajaro Valley Unified District its costs herein incurred. 3 9. On Its Ninth Cause of Action: 4 That the Fresno Unified School District (and а. 5 as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 6 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 7 during the pendency of this action and permanently 8 thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, 9 and that the Fresno Unified School District be restrained 10 and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes 11 and regulations, and from failing to provide students at 12 Morris E. Dailey Elementary School, including plaintiffs 13 Maria Imperatrice, Catherine Fipps, Jason Fipps, and Axel 14 Fipps, with a basic education and with basic educational 15 opportunities equal to those received by children in other 16 schools. 17 b. That cross-complainant receive such other,

17 D. That cross-complainant receive such other,
 18 further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
 19 equitable.

20 c. That cross-complainant recover from the
21 Fresno Unified School District its costs herein incurred.
22 10. On Its Tenth Cause of Action:

a. That the Visalia Unified School District (and
as well its respective officers, agents, servants and
employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both
during the pendency of this action and permanently
thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of,
and that the Visalia Unified School District be restrained

140

and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Redwood and Mount Whitney High Schools, including plaintiffs Heidi Karnes and Jeffrey D. Seals, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Visalia Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

11. On Its Eleventh Cause of Action:

13 a. That the Merced City Elementary School 14 District (and as well its respective officers, agents, 15 servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and 16 enjoined both during the pendency of this action and 17 permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein 18 complained of, and that the Merced City Elementary School 19 District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply 20 with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing 21 to provide students at Tenaya Middle School, including 22 plaintiff Theresa Ensminger, with a basic education and with 23 basic educational opportunities equal to those received by 24 children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the

Merced City Elementary School District its costs herein incurred.

i

12. On Its Twelfth Cause of Action:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

a. That the Alhambra City Elementary School District (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, and that the Alhambra City Elementary School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Brightwood Elementary School, including plaintiffs Kelsey Gin and Alexander Nobari, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the
 Alhambra City Elementary School District its costs herein
 incurred.

13. On Its Thirteenth Cause of Action:

a. That the Alhambra City High School District
(and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and
employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both
during the pendency of this action and permanently
thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of,
and that the Alhambra City High School District be

142

restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Mark Keppel High School, including plaintiffs Kenny Yee, Tiffany Gin, John Nobori, and Nicholas Nobori, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the
 Alhambra City High School District its costs herein
 incurred.

14. On Its Fourteenth Cause of Action:

15 a. That the Los Angeles Unified School District 16 (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 17 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 18 during the pendency of this action and permanently 19 thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, 20 and that the Los Angeles Unified School District be 21 restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to 22 23 provide students at Belmont Senior High School, Berendo 24 Middle School, Bret Harte Preparatory Intermediate School, 25 Cahuenga Elementary School, Crenshaw Senior High School, 26 Daniel Webster Middle School, George Washington Carver 27 Middle School, Gulf Avenue Elementary School, Huntington 28 Park Senior High School, John C. Fremont Senior High School,

143

1 Marina del Rey Middle School, Robert Louis Stevenson Middle 2 School, Susan Miller Dorsey Senior High School, Thomas Jefferson Senior High School, and Virgil Middle School, 3 4 including plaintiffs Hilda Oliva, Jose Negrete, Jose 5 Valencia, Hanover Mares, Maria Muñiz, Oscar Ruiz, Josue 6 Herrera, Abraham Perez, Carlos Perez, Juan Salquero, 7 Graciela Solano, Rafael Solano, Samuel Tellechea, Delwin Lampkin, D'Andre Lampkin, Flor Osorio, Daniel Pastor, 8 9 Francisco Tenorio, Erika Hernandez, Ruth Mata, Sonya Mata, 10 Lizette Ruiz, Geyman Hernandez, Cindy Diego, Glauz Diego, 11 Patricia Figueroa, Marie Valle, Sonia Felix, Abraham Osura, 12 Lisa Lopez, Lluliana Alonso, Altagracia Garcia, Maria Perez, 13 and Fabiola Tostado, with a basic education and with basic 14 educational opportunities equal to those received by 15 children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

19

20

21

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Los Angeles Unified School District its costs herein incurred. 15. On Its Fifteenth Cause of Action:

a. That the Montebello Unified School District
(and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and
employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both
during the pendency of this action and permanently
thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of,
and that the Montebello Unified School District be
restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with

144

applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Joseph A. Gascon Elementary School, including plaintiff Lissa Palacios, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Montebello Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

16. On Its Sixteenth Cause of Action:

13 That the Lynwood Unified School District (and a. as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 14 15 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 16 during the pendency of this action and permanently 17 thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, 18 and that the Lynwood Unified School District be restrained 19 and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes 20 and regulations, and from failing to provide students at 21 Lynwood and Hosler Middle Schools, including plaintiffs 22 Monique Treviño and Marlene Funes, with a basic education 23 and with basic educational opportunities equal to those 24 received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other,
further, or different relief as may be deemed just and
equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the

1

2

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Lynwood Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

17. On Its Seventeenth Cause of Action:

3 That the Inglewood Unified School District a. 4 (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 5 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 6 during the pendency of this action and permanently 7 thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, and that the Inglewood Unified School District be restrained 8 and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at 11 Daniel Freeman Elementary School, Frank D. Parent Elementary 12 School, and George W. Crozier Junior High School in 13 Inglewood such as they have alleged, which conditions result 14 in depriving students at those schools, including plaintiffs Remington Castille, Sharifa McCauley, Justin Jones, and Taylor Jones, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

That cross-complainant recover from the с. Inglewood Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

> 18. On Its Eighteenth Cause of Action:

25 a. That the Long Beach Unified School District 26 (and as well its respective officers, agents, servants and 27 employees) and each of them be restrained and enjoined both 28 during the pendency of this action and permanently

146

thereafter from commission of the acts herein complained of, and that the Long Beach Unified School District be restrained and enjoined from failing to comply with applicable statutes and regulations, and from failing to provide students at Jackie Robinson and Lincoln Elementary Schools, including plaintiff Ronisha Good, with a basic education and with basic educational opportunities equal to those received by children in other schools.

b. That cross-complainant receive such other, further, or different relief as may be deemed just and equitable.

c. That cross-complainant recover from the Long Beach Unified School District its costs herein incurred.

DATED: December 11, 2000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP JOHN F. DAUM FRAMROZE M. VIRJEE DAVID L. HERRON DAVID B. NEWDORF

John F. Daum Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant State of California